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Members of the Board:

We are pleased to submit the results of an investigation of the economic and demographic experience for the Employees' Retirement System of Georgia. The purpose of the investigation was to assess the reasonability of the actuarial assumptions currently used by the Retirement System. This investigation covers the five-year period from July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2014. As a result of the investigation, it is recommended that revised economic assumptions and demographic tables be adopted by the Board for future use.

The investigation of the demographic experience of members of the System includes all active and retired members as well as beneficiaries of deceased members. The experience was investigated separately for males and females since different tables are used for each of these groups.

The number of members expected to separate from active service, the expected rates of salary increase and the expected number of post-retirement deaths was obtained by use of the rates determined in the last experience investigation and adopted by the Board of Trustees. The results of the investigation indicate that the assumed rates of separation from active service due to withdrawal, disability, death and retirement, and rates of salary increase and post-retirement mortality do not accurately reflect the actual and anticipated experience of the Retirement System. As a result of the investigation, new withdrawal, salary, disability, retirement and mortality tables have been developed which reflect more closely the actual experience of the membership.

This report shows a comparison of the actual and expected cases of separation from active service, actual and expected number of deaths, and actual and expected salary increases. A comparison between the rates of separation and mortality presently in use and the recommended revised rates are also shown in this report.

All new assumptions are shown in the attached tables in Appendix D of this report. In the actuary's judgment, the recommended assumptions are suitable for use until further experience indicates that modifications are desirable.

The experience investigation was performed by, and under the supervision of, independent actuaries who are members of the American Academy of Actuaries with experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems. The undersigned meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Respectfully submitted,


Edward A. Macdonald, ASA, FCA, MAAA President
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## Section I Executive Summary

The following table summarizes the findings and recommendations with regard to the assumptions utilized for the Employees' Retirement System of Georgia. Detailed explanations for the recommendations are found in the sections that follow.

## Recommended Economic Assumption Changes

The table below lists the three economic assumptions used in the actuarial valuations and the current and proposed rates.

| Item | Current | Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Price Inflation | $3.00 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ |
| Investment Return* | $7.50 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ |
| Wage Inflation | $3.75 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ |

* net of investment expenses.


## Recommended Demographic Assumption Changes

The table below lists the demographic assumptions that we recommend be changed based on the experience of the last five years.

| Employee Group | Assumption Changes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Non-Police | Withdrawal, Pre-Retirement Mortality, Disability Retirement, Service <br> Retirement, Post-Retirement Mortality, Salary Scale |
| Police | Withdrawal, Pre-Retirement Mortality, Disability Retirement, Service <br> Retirement, Post-Retirement Mortality, Salary Scale |

## Recommended Other Assumption Changes

The table below lists the other assumptions that are considered in our valuations that should be reviewed during the experience study.

| Assumption | Assumption Changes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Administrative Expenses | No Change to current method of determining rate |
| Amortization Method | No change to current method of level dollar <br> amortization |
| Asset Smoothing | No change to current method of smoothing market <br> gains and losses over 5 year period |
| Option Factors | Recommend change in current option factors to reflect <br> change in mortality rate |
| Unused Sick Leave | Recomend changes to our loads on service for <br> Recommend change in assumption for active vested <br> members receiving termination benefits |
| Termination Benefits | No change in Entry Age Normal Cost Method |
| Valuation Cost Method sick leave to |  |

## Section II

## Financial Impact

The following table highlights the impact of the recommended changes on the principal valuation results.

| Impact on Principal Valuation Results (\$ in Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valuation Results 2014 | Recommended Assumptions |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | \$4,615,843 | \$4,688,509 |
| Funding Ratio | 72.8\% | 72.5\% |
| Amortization Period (in years) | 22.6 | 23.0 |
| Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution |  |  |
| Old Plan |  |  |
| Initial Normal Rate | 6.13\% | 6.06\% |
| Employer Paid on Behalf of Employee | (4.75) | (4.75) |
| Normal Rate | 1.38\% | 1.31\% |
| Accrued Liability Rate | 18.56 | 18.72 |
| Total | 19.94\% | 20.03\% |
| New Plan |  |  |
| Normal Rate | 6.13\% | 6.06\% |
| Accrued Liability Rate | 18.56 | 18.72 |
| Total | 24.69\% | 24.78\% |
| GSEPs |  |  |
| Normal Rate | 3.13\% | 2.97\% |
| Accrued Liability Rate | 18.56 | 18.72 |
| Total | 21.69\% | 21.69\% |

## Section III Economic Assumptions

There are three economic assumptions used in the actuarial valuations performed for the System. They are:

- Price Inflation
- Investment Return
- Wage Inflation

Actuarial Standard of Practice (ASOP) No. 27, "Selection of Economic Assumptions for Measuring Pension Obligations" provides guidance to actuaries in selecting economic assumptions for measuring obligations under defined benefit plans. ASOP No. 27 was revised in September, 2013 and no longer includes the concept of a "best estimate range". Instead, the revised standard now requires that each economic assumption selected by the actuary should be reasonable which means it has the following characteristics:

- It is appropriate for the purpose of the measurement;
- It reflects the actuary's professional judgment;
- It takes into account historical and current economic data that is relevant as of the measurement date;
- It reflects the actuary's estimate of future experience, the actuary's observation of the estimates inherent in market data, or a combination thereof; and
- It has no significant bias (i.e., it is not significantly optimistic or pessimistic), except when provisions for adverse deviation or plan provisions that are difficult to measure are included and disclosed, or when alternative assumptions are used for the assessment of risk.

Each economic assumption should individually satisfy this standard. Furthermore, with respect to any particular valuation, each economic assumption should be consistent with every other economic assumption over the measurement period.

In our opinion, the economic assumptions recommended in this report have been developed in accordance with ASOP No. 27. The following table shows our recommendations followed by detailed discussions of each assumption.

| Item | Current | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Price Inflation | $3.00 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ |
| Real Rate of Return* | $\underline{4.50}$ | $\underline{4.75}$ |
| Investment Return | $7.50 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Price Inflation | $3.00 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ |
| Real Wage Growth | $\underline{0.75 \%}$ | $\underline{0.50 \%}$ |
| Wage Inflation | $3.75 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ |

* Net of investment expenses


## Price Inflation

Background: As can be seen from the table above, assumed price inflation is used as the basis for both the investment return assumption and the wage inflation assumption. These latter two assumptions will be discussed in detail in the following sections.

It is important that the price inflation assumption be consistently applied throughout the economic assumptions utilized in an actuarial valuation. This is called for in ASOP No. 27 and is also required to meet the parameters for determining pension liabilities and expense under Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 67 and 68.

The current price inflation assumption is $3.00 \%$ per year.

Past Experience: The Consumer Price Index, US City Average, All Urban Consumers, CPI (U), has been used as the basis for reviewing historical levels of price inflation. The level of that index in June of each of the last 50 years is provided in Appendix A.

In analyzing this data, annual rates of inflation have been determined by measuring the compound growth rate of the $\mathrm{CPI}(\mathrm{U})$ over various time periods. The results are as follows:

| Period | Number of <br> Years | Inflation | Annual <br> Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2004-2014$ | 10 | $2.31 \%$ | $1.81 \%$ |
| $1994-2004$ | 10 | 2.51 | 0.83 |
| $1984-1994$ | 10 | 3.62 | 1.06 |
| $1974-1984$ | 10 | 7.78 | 3.39 |
| $1964-1974$ | 10 | 4.68 | 2.63 |
| $1994-2014$ | 20 | $2.41 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ |
| $1984-2014$ | 30 | 2.81 | 1.39 |
| $1974-2014$ | 40 | 4.03 | 2.99 |
| $1964-2014$ | 50 | 4.16 | 2.90 |
| $1926-2014$ | 88 | 2.98 | 4.15 |

The following graph illustrates the historical levels of price inflation measured as of June 30th of each of the last 50 years and compared to the current $3.00 \%$ annual rate currently assumed.

Annual Rate of CPI (U) Increases


Over more recent historical periods, the average annual rate of increase in the CPI-U has been below $3.00 \%$. The period of high inflation from 1973 to 1982 has a significant impact on the averages over periods which include these rates. Further, the average rate of $2.98 \%$ over the entire 88 year period is close to the average rate of $2.81 \%$ for the prior 30 years (1984 to 2014) but the volatility of the annual rates in the more recent years has been markedly lower as indicated by the
significantly lower annual standard deviations. Many experts attribute the lower average annual rates and lower volatility to the increased efforts of the Federal Reserve since the early 1980's to stabilize price inflation. The severe recession of 2008-2009 resulted in a short period of deflation followed by low levels of inflation. The Federal Reserve has combated this weak environment with zero interest rates and quantitative easing. Although the quantitative easing program has ended, the Federal Reserve has disclosed an inflation target of at least $2.0 \%$ annually and will keep interest rates very low until they see progress toward the target.

Recommendation: It is difficult to accurately predict inflation. Inflation's short-term volatility is illustrated by comparing its average rate over the last 10, 30 and 50 years. Although the 10 -year average of $2.31 \%$ is lower than the System's assumed rate of $3.00 \%$, the longer 30, 40 and 50 -year averages of $2.79 \%, 3.94 \%$ and $4.25 \%$ respectively, are at or slightly higher than the System's rate. The validity of the System's assumption is, therefore, dependent upon the emphasis one assigns to the short and long-terms.

Current economic forecasts suggest lower inflation but are generally looking at a shorter time period than appropriate for our purposes. In the 2014 OASDI Trustees Report, the Chief Actuary for Social Security bases the 75 year cost projections on an intermediate inflation assumption of $2.7 \%$ with a range of $1.7 \%$ to $3.7 \%$. We consider that range reasonable and recommend that ERS lower the current price inflation assumption from 3.00 to $2.75 \%$.

| Price Inflation Assumption |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current | $3.00 \%$ |
| Recommended | $2.75 \%$ |

## Investment Return

Background: The assumed investment return is one of the most significant assumptions in the annual actuarial valuation process as it is used to discount the expected benefit payments for all active, inactive and retired members of the System. Minor changes in this assumption can have a major impact on valuation results. The investment return assumption should reflect the asset allocation target for the funds set by the Board of Trustees.

The current assumption is $7.50 \%$, consisting of a price inflation assumption of $3.00 \%$ and a real rate of return assumption of $4.50 \%$. The return is net of all investment expenses.

Past Experience: The assets for the System are valued using a widely accepted asset-smoothing methodology (5-year smoothing) that fully recognizes the expected investment income and also recognizes $20 \%$ of each year's investment gain or loss (the difference between actual and expected investment income). The asset smoothing methodology from 2010 through 2012 was based on 7 -year smoothing and actuarial value was set equal to market value in 2013. The recent experience over the last five years is shown in the table below.

| Year <br> Ending <br> $\mathbf{6 / 3 0}$ | Actuarial Value | Market Value <br> Rate of Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | $(2.12) \%$ | $11.54 \%$ |
| 2011 | 4.06 | 21.29 |
| 2012 | 4.25 | 1.95 |
| 2013 | 6.47 | 13.38 |
| 2014 | 9.46 | 17.28 |
| Average | 4.35 | $12.90 \%$ |

The impact of the asset smoothing method can be observed in the table. Very poor asset returns during 2008 and 2009 are reflected in the actuarial value returns through 2013. While important to review and analyze, historical returns over such a short time period are not credible for the purpose of setting the long-term assumed future rate of return.

We next include in our analysis information concerning future expectations for the investment return assumption. Because of the significant variability in past year-to-year results and the interplay of inflation on those results in the short term, we prefer to base our investment return assumption on the capital market assumptions utilized by the Board in setting investment policy and the asset allocation established by the Board as a result of that policy. This approach is referred to as the building block method in ASOP No. 27.

Analysis: The current capital market assumptions and asset allocation as provided by the System are shown in Appendix B. We further assumed that investment returns approximately follow a lognormal distribution with no correlation between years. The results below provide an expected range of real rates of return over a 50 year time horizon. Looking at one year results produces an expected real return of $6.38 \%$ but also has a high standard deviation or measurement of volatility. By expanding the time horizon, the average return does not change much but the volatility declines significantly. The following table provides a summary of results. The geometric real rates of return are net of investment expenses.

| Time <br> Span In <br> Years | Mean <br> Real <br> Return | Standard <br> Deviation | $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Based on this analysis there is a $50 \%$ likelihood that the average real rate of return over a 50 -year period will be $5.29 \%$. It can also be inferred that for the 10 year time span, $5 \%$ of the resulting real rates of return were below $-2.29 \%$ and $95 \%$ were above that. As the time span increases, the results begin to merge. Over a 50 year time span, the results indicate there is a $25 \%$ chance that real returns will be below $3.86 \%$ and a $25 \%$ chance they will be above $6.74 \%$. In other words there is a $50 \%$ chance the real returns will be between $3.86 \%$ and $6.74 \%$.

Recommendation: Using the building block approach of ASOP No. 27 and the projection results outlined above, we are recommending a range for the investment return assumption of the $25^{\text {th }}$ to $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile real returns over the 50 year time span plus the recommended inflation assumption less the recommended expense rate. The following table details the range.

| Item | $\mathbf{2 5}^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | $\mathbf{5 0}^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | $\mathbf{7 5}^{\text {th }}$ Percentile |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Real Rate of Return* | $3.86 \%$ | $5.29 \%$ | $6.74 \%$ |
| Inflation | $\underline{2.75}$ | $\underline{2.75}$ | $\underline{2.75}$ |
| Net Investment Return | $6.61 \%$ | $8.04 \%$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4 9 \%}$ |

* net of investment expenses.

There is a $50 \%$ chance that the net return will be $8.04 \%$ or more over a 50 -year period. A net return of $7.50 \%$ is at the $40^{\text {th }}$ percentile. Although not in the center of the recommended range, in our opinion a return of $7.50 \%$ is conservative yet reasonable. In addition, the most recent Public Fund Survey indicates that the current median return assumptions for the approximately 126 large public plans in the summary is $7.75 \%$. Further, the recent trend in the return assumption of these large plans is toward lower annual rates of return.

After review of past experience for ERS and future expectation analysis, we are recommending the real rate of return assumption can be increased from $4.50 \%$ to $4.75 \%$. Combining this with our recommendation to lower the price inflation assumption, we recommend the long-term investment return assumption remain at $7.50 \%$.

| Investment Return Assumption |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current | Recommended |
| Real Rate of Return* | $4.50 \%$ | $4.75 \%$ |
| Inflation | $\underline{3.00}$ | $\underline{2.75}$ |
| Net Investment Return | $7.50 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ |

[^0]
## Wage Inflation

Background: The assumed future increases in salaries consist of a wage inflation component and a component for promotion and longevity, often called merit increases. Wage inflation normally consists of price inflation and a component for real wage growth which reflects the overall return on labor in the economy. Merit increases are generally age and or service related, and will be discussed in the demographic assumption section of the report.

The current wage inflation assumption is $3.75 \%$, and is composed of a $3.00 \%$ rate of inflation assumption and a $0.75 \%$ real rate of wage inflation.

Past Experience: The Social Security Administration publishes data on wage growth in the United States. Appendix C shows the last 50 calendar years' data. As with our analysis of inflation, we provide below wage inflation and a comparison with price inflation over various time periods. Currently, this wage data is only available through calendar year 2013. We remove the rate of price inflation for each year from the data to result in the historical real rate of wage inflation.

| Period | Wage Inflation | Price Inflation | Real Wage Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2003-2013$ | $2.80 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ | $0.43 \%$ |
| $1993-2003$ | $3.95 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ | $1.58 \%$ |
| $1983-1993$ | $4.26 \%$ | $3.71 \%$ | $0.55 \%$ |
| $1973-1983$ | $7.23 \%$ | $8.17 \%$ | $(0.94) \%$ |
| $1963-1973$ | $5.60 \%$ | $4.10 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $1993-2013$ | $3.37 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |
| $1983-2013$ | $3.67 \%$ | $2.82 \%$ | $0.85 \%$ |
| $1973-2013$ | $4.55 \%$ | $4.13 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ |
| $1963-2013$ | $4.76 \%$ | $4.12 \%$ | $0.64 \%$ |

Thus over the last 50 years, annual real wage growth has averaged $0.64 \%$.

## Annual Real Rates of Wage Growth



As the analysis of the national wage growth data shows, the shorter-term historical average real rate ( $0.43 \%$ for latest 10 year period) is lower than the longer-term average real rates. The rate of real wage inflation over the prior 20 and 30 year periods is $1.00 \%$ and $0.85 \%$ respectively. Over the longer term, 50 years, the rate is $0.64 \%$.

Recommendation: As with price inflation, we again look at the 2014 OASDI Trustees Report. The Chief Actuary for Social Security bases the 75 year cost projections on an ultimate national wage growth assumption $1.12 \%$ greater than the price inflation assumption of $2.80 \%$. The actual experience in ERS, as seen in payroll increases during the experience study, has been lower than the national average. Therefore, we recommend use of a $0.50 \%$ per year rate at the current time for real wage growth.

| Wage Inflation Assumption |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current | Recommended |
| Price Inflation | $3.00 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ |
| Real Wage Growth | $0.75 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ |
| Wage Inflation | $3.75 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ |

Payroll Growth Assumption: The current amortization method is level dollar amortization. We recommend continued use of this amortization method.

## Section IV

## Demographic Assumptions

There are several demographic assumptions used in the actuarial valuations performed for the Employees' Retirement System of Georgia. They are:

- Rates of Withdrawal
- Rates of Disability Retirement
- Rates of Service Retirement
- Rates of Mortality
- Rates of Salary Merit Increase

The Actuarial Standards Board has issued Actuarial Standard of Practice (ASOP) No. 35, "Selection of Demographic and Other Noneconomic Assumptions for Measuring Pension Obligations", which provides guidance to actuaries in selecting demographic assumptions for measuring obligations under defined benefit plans. In our opinion, the demographic assumptions recommended in this report have been developed in accordance with ASOP No. 35.

The purpose of a study of demographic experience is to compare what actually happened to the membership during the study period (July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2014) with what was expected to happen based on the assumptions used in the most recent Actuarial Valuations.

Detailed tabulations by age, service and/or gender are performed over the entire study period. These tabulations look at all active and retired members during the period as well as separately annotating those who experience a demographic event, also referred to as a decrement. In addition the tabulation of all members together with the current assumptions permits the calculation of the number of expected decrements during the study period.

If the actual experience differs significantly from the overall expected results, or if the pattern of actual decrements, or rates of decrement, by age, gender, or service does not follow the expected pattern, new assumptions are recommended. Recommended changes usually do not follow the exact actual experience during the observation period. Judgment is required to extrapolate future experience from past trends and current member behavior.

The remainder of this section presents the results of the demographic study. We have prepared tables that show a comparison of the actual and expected decrements and the overall ratio of actual to expected results (A/E Ratios) under the current assumptions. If a change is being proposed, the revised A/E Ratios are shown as well. Salary adjustments, other than the economic assumption for wage inflation discussed in the previous section, are treated as demographic assumptions.

## RATES OF WITHDRAWAL

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED WITHDRAWALS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

NON-POLICE

|  |  |  | NUMIBER OF W Current | DRAWALS tes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENTRAL | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
| OF GROUP | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
|  | Withdrawals with less than 5 years of service |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 916 | 743.1 | 1.233 | 412 | 402.3 | 1.024 |
| 25 | 2,038 | 1,849.3 | 1.102 | 2,921 | 2,699.2 | 1.082 |
| 30 | 1,618 | 1,535.1 | 1.054 | 2,907 | 2,800.7 | 1.038 |
| 35 | $1,049$ | 973.2 | 1.078 | 1,974 | 1,925.2 | 1.025 |
| $40$ | 941 | 856.5 | 1.099 | 1,647 | 1,519.2 | 1.084 |
| $45$ | 792 | 732.2 | 1.082 | 1,277 | 1,140.1 | 1.120 |
| 50 | 613 | 540.5 | 1.134 | 979 | 942.0 | 1.039 |
| 53 \& OVER | 917 | 778.8 | 1.177 | 1,405 | 1,177.5 | 1.193 |
| TOT AL | 8,884 | 8,008.7 | 1.109 | 13,522 | 12,606.2 | 1.073 |
| Withdrawals with at least 5 but less than 10 years of service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 158 | 184.7 | 0.855 | 177 | 175.5 | 1.009 |
| 30 | 419 | 481.9 | 0.869 | 912 | 1,023.6 | 0.891 |
| 35 | 367 | 416.6 | 0.881 | 906 | 949.5 | 0.954 |
| $40$ | 333 | 349.4 | 0.953 | 770 | 698.6 | 1.102 |
| 45 | 280 | 290.7 | 0.963 | 567 | 551.3 | 1.028 |
| $50$ | 236 | 231.0 | 1.022 | 474 | 448.5 | 1.057 |
| 53 \& OVER | 565 | 567.9 | 0.995 | 952 | 816.1 | 1.167 |
| TOT AL | 2,358 | 2,522.2 | 0.935 | 4,758 | 4,663.1 | 1.020 |
|  | Withdrawals with 10 or more years of service |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 51 | 53.9 | 0.946 | 62 | 50.2 | 1.235 |
| 35 | 168 | 221.7 | 0.758 | 335 | 363.7 | 0.921 |
| 40 | 292 | 335.9 | 0.869 | 543 | 475.2 | 1.143 |
| 45 | 335 | 317.7 | 1.054 | 571 | 475.7 | 1.200 |
| 50 | 332 | 280.9 | 1.182 | 686 | 475.1 | 1.444 |
| 53 \& OVER | 738 | 396.2 | 1.863 | 1,103 | 620.3 | 1.778 |
| TOT AL | 1,916 | 1,606.3 | 1.193 | 3,300 | 2,460.2 | 1.341 |

## POLICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE <br> OF GROUP | NUMIBER OF WITHIDRAWALS Current Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
|  | Withdrawals with less than $\mathbf{1 0}$ years of service |  |  |
| 20 | 5 | 5.1 | 0.980 |
| 25 | 19 | 34.9 | 0.544 |
| 30 | 31 | 47.0 | 0.660 |
| 35 | 23 | 34.9 | 0.659 |
| 40 | 10 | 22.2 | 0.450 |
| 45 | 3 | 10.9 | 0.275 |
| 50 | 5 | 3.7 | 1.351 |
| 53 \& OVER | 1 | 0.7 | 1.429 |
| TOTAL | 97 | 159.4 | 0.609 |
|  | Withdrawals with 10 or more years of service |  |  |
| 30 | 2 | 5.7 | 0.351 |
| 35 | 13 | 27.8 | 0.468 |
| 40 | 17 | 46.5 | 0.366 |
| 45 | 10 | 32.3 | 0.310 |
| 50 | 6 | 18.0 | 0.333 |
| 53 \& OVER | 6 | 4.0 | 1.500 |
| TOTAL | 54 | 134.3 | 0.402 |

The rates of withdrawal adopted by the Board are used to determine the expected number of separations from active service which will occur as a result of resignation or dismissal. The experience indicates that during the period studied, for Non-Police members, there were more withdrawals than expected overall in all service categories except for males between five and ten years of service. For Police members, there were significantly fewer withdrawals than expected for members at the younger ages and more than expected at the older ages. We recommend that the rates be adjusted to more closely reflect the experience.

The following graphs show a comparison of the current expected, actual, and proposed rates of withdrawal for actives.

## RATES OF WITHDRAWAL FOR NON-POLICE ACTIVE MEMBERS WITH LESS THAN 5 YEARS OF SERVICE



RATES OF WITHDRAWAL FOR NON-POLICE ACTIVE MEMBERS WITH AT LEAST 5 BUT LESS THAN 10 YEARS OF SERVICE


RATES OF WITHDRAWAL FOR NON-POLICE ACTIVE MEMBERS WITH 10 OR MORE YEARS OF SERVICE


RATES OF WITHDRAWAL FOR POLICE ACTIVE MEMBERS


With 10 or more years of service


The charts below provide our recommended changes to this assumption.

## COMPARATIVE RATES OF WITHDRAWAL FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

NON-POLICE

| AGE | RATES OF WIIHDRAWAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CURRENT |  |  | PROPOSED |  |  |
|  | Years of Service |  |  | Years of Service |  |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10+ | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10+ |
|  | Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 31.00\% |  |  | 35.00\% |  |  |
| 25 | 26.00 | 17.00\% |  | 27.50 | 15.00\% |  |
| 30 | 22.50 | 12.00 | 7.50\% | 23.00 | 11.50 | 7.50\% |
| 35 | 21.00 | 10.00 | 7.00 | 21.50 | 10.00 | 6.00 |
| 40 | 19.00 | 9.50 | 5.00 | 19.50 | 9.50 | 4.75 |
| 45 | 18.00 | 9.00 | 3.75 | 18.50 | 9.00 | 4.00 |
| 50 | 15.50 | 7.00 | 3.75 | 16.50 | 7.25 | 4.25 |
| 55 | 13.00 | 6.50 | 4.00 | 14.50 | 7.00 | 4.75 |
|  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 31.00\% |  |  | 30.00\% |  |  |
| 25 | 24.00 | 19.00\% |  | 25.00 | 17.50\% |  |
| 30 | 21.00 | 13.00 | 7.75\% | 21.50 | 12.50 | 8.25\% |
| 35 | 19.50 | 10.50 | 6.75 | 19.50 | 10.50 | 6.00 |
| 40 | 17.50 | 9.00 | 4.50 | 18.25 | 9.50 | 5.00 |
| 45 | 15.50 | 8.00 | 3.50 | 16.50 | 8.00 | 4.00 |
| 50 | 15.00 | 7.00 | 3.50 | 15.00 | 7.25 | 4.25 |
| 55 | 12.50 | 6.50 | 4.00 | 14.00 | 7.00 | 4.50 |

## POLICE

|  | RATLES OF WITHDRAWAL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CURRENT |  | PROPOSED |  |
|  | Years of Service | Years of Service |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{0 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 +}$ | $\mathbf{0 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 +}$ |
| 20 | $15.0 \%$ |  | $15.00 \%$ |  |
| 25 | 7.0 |  | 5.75 |  |
| 30 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.75 | 4.00 |
| 35 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 5.75 | 3.75 |
| 40 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 5.75 | 3.00 |
| 45 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 5.75 | 2.00 |
| 50 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 5.75 | 2.00 |
| 55 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

The following tables show a comparison of the actual and expected withdrawals from active service based on the new proposed rates of withdrawal and the resulting $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{E}$ (actual to expected) ratio.

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED WITHDRAWALS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES

NON-POLICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE <br> OF GROUP | NUMBER OF WITHDRAWALS <br> Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
|  | Withdrawals with less than 5 years of service |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 916 | 839.0 | 1.092 | 412 | 407.1 | 1.012 |
| 25 | 2,038 | 1,955.8 | 1.042 | 2,921 | 2,806.9 | 1.041 |
| 30 | 1,618 | 1,559.7 | 1.037 | 2,907 | 2,829.0 | 1.028 |
| 35 | 1,049 | 996.4 | 1.053 | 1,974 | 1,940.0 | 1.018 |
| 40 | 941 | 878.9 | 1.071 | 1,647 | 1,578.4 | 1.043 |
| 45 | 792 | 759.1 | 1.043 | 1,277 | 1,202.1 | 1.062 |
| 50 | 613 | 574.8 | 1.066 | 979 | 968.2 | 1.011 |
| 53 \& OVER | 917 | 835.2 | 1.098 | 1,405 | 1,301.0 | 1.080 |
| TOTAL | 8,884 | 8,398.9 | 1.058 | 13,522 | 13,032.7 | 1.038 |
|  | Withdrawals with at least 5 but less than 10 years of service |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 158 | 173.6 | 0.910 | 177 | 175.7 | 1.007 |
| 30 | 419 | 456.8 | 0.917 | 912 | 979.7 | 0.931 |
| 35 | 367 | 414.0 | 0.886 | 906 | 948.6 | 0.955 |
| 40 | 333 | 349.4 | 0.953 | 770 | 727.8 | 1.058 |
| 45 | 280 | 290.1 | 0.965 | 567 | 557.5 | 1.017 |
| 50 | 236 | 232.4 | 1.015 | 474 | 465.5 | 1.018 |
| 53 \& OVER | 565 | 581.4 | 0.972 | 952 | 877.4 | 1.085 |
| TOTAL | 2,358 | 2,497.7 | 0.944 | 4,758 | 4,732.2 | 1.005 |
|  | Withdrawals with 10 or more years of service |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 51 | 54.7 | 0.932 | 62 | 53.4 | 1.161 |
| 35 | 168 | 196.1 | 0.857 | 335 | 335.6 | 0.998 |
| 40 | 292 | 318.8 | 0.916 | 543 | 513.1 | 1.058 |
| 45 | 335 | 335.6 | 0.998 | 571 | 545.7 | 1.046 |
| 50 | 332 | 315.6 | 1.052 | 686 | 579.3 | 1.184 |
| 53 \& OVER | 738 | 475.8 | 1.551 | 1,103 | 739.0 | 1.493 |
| TOTAL | 1,916 | 1,696.6 | 1.129 | 3,300 | 2,766.1 | 1.193 |

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED WITHDRAWALS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES

POLICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE <br> OF GROUP | NUMBER OF WITHIDRAWALS Proposed Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
|  | Withdrawals with less than 10 years of service |  |  |
| 20 | 5 | 5.1 | 0.980 |
| 25 | 19 | 28.6 | 0.664 |
| 30 | 31 | 38.6 | 0.803 |
| 35 | 23 | 28.7 | 0.801 |
| 40 | 10 | 18.2 | 0.549 |
| 45 | 3 | 8.9 | 0.337 |
| 50 | 5 | 3.1 | 1.613 |
| 53 \& OVER | 1 | 1.2 | 0.833 |
| TOTAL | 97 | 132.4 | 0.733 |
|  | Withdrawals with 10 or more years of service |  |  |
| 30 | 2 | 4.0 | 0.500 |
| 35 | 13 | 20.3 | 0.640 |
| 40 | 17 | 34.2 | 0.497 |
| 45 | 10 | 23.0 | 0.435 |
| 50 | 6 | 12.0 | 0.500 |
| 53 \& OVER | 6 | 2.7 | 2.222 |
| TOTAL | 54 | 96.2 | 0.561 |

## RATES OF DISABILITY RETIREMENT

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED DISABILITY RETIREMENTS

NON-POLICE

| CENTRAL AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF DISABILITY REIIREMIENTS <br> Current Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 35 | 5 | 20.1 | 0.249 | 6 | 14.3 | 0.420 |
| 40 | 34 | 38.5 | 0.883 | 27 | 29.0 | 0.931 |
| 45 | 68 | 84.4 | 0.806 | 68 | 76.5 | 0.889 |
| 50 | 108 | 140.4 | 0.769 | 138 | 158.7 | 0.870 |
| 53 \& OVER | 234 | 268.7 | 0.871 | 238 | 352.4 | 0.675 |
| TOTAL | 449 | 552.1 | 0.813 | 477 | 630.9 | 0.756 |

POLICE

| CENTRAL AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF DISABILITY REITREMIENTS <br> Current Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 35 | 1 | 4.2 | 0.238 |
| 40 | 18 | 9.4 | 1.915 |
| 45 | 22 | 14.2 | 1.549 |
| 50 | 24 | 10.2 | 2.353 |
| 53 \& OVER | 12 | 7.3 | 1.644 |
| TOTAL | 77 | 45.3 | 1.700 |

During the period under investigation, the actual rates of disability retirement for Non-Police members were less than expected over all age groups. On the contrary, for Police members the disability retirements were significantly more than expected over most age groups. Therefore, we recommend the rates of disability retirement be decreased for Non-Police members and increased for Police members to partially reflect the experience of the System. The following graphs show a comparison of the current expected, actual, and proposed rates of disability retirements for actives.
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The following tables show a comparison between the present disability retirement rates and the proposed rates.

COMPARATIVE RATES OF DISABILITY RETIREMENTS

## NON-POLICE

| AGE | RATES OF DISABILITY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALDS |  | FEMALES |  |
|  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | $0.05 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |
| 25 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 30 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 35 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 40 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| 45 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| 50 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 0.45 |
| 55 | 1.10 | 1.05 | 0.82 | 0.73 |

## COMPARATIVE RATES OF DISABILITY RETIREMENTS

POLICE

| AGE | RATES OF DISABILITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | $0.05 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |
| 25 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| 30 | 0.13 | 0.08 |
| 35 | 0.22 | 0.16 |
| 40 | 0.60 | 0.85 |
| 45 | 1.20 | 1.40 |
| 50 | 1.50 | 2.00 |
| 55 | 2.30 | 2.70 |

The following table shows a comparison of the actual and expected disability retirements based on the new proposed rates of disability and the resulting $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{E}$ (actual to expected) ratio.

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED DISABILITY RETIREMENTS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES

NON-POLICE

| CENTRAL AGE <br> OF GROUP | NUMBER OF DISABILITY REIIREMIENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 35 | 5 | 20.1 | 0.249 | 6 | 14.3 | 0.420 |
| 40 | 34 | 38.1 | 0.892 | 27 | 29.0 | 0.931 |
| 45 | 68 | 80.8 | 0.842 | 68 | 74.6 | 0.912 |
| 50 | 108 | 130.1 | 0.830 | 138 | 144.7 | 0.954 |
| 53 \& OVER | 234 | 252.3 | 0.927 | 238 | 300.1 | 0.793 |
| TOTAL | 449 | 521.4 | 0.861 | 477 | 562.7 | 0.848 |

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED DISABILITY RETIREMENTS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES

| POLICE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CENTRAL AGE } \\ & \text { OF GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF DISABILITY REIIREMENTS |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 35 | 1 | 3.5 | 0.286 |
| 40 | 18 | 12.5 | 1.440 |
| 45 | 22 | 17.1 | 1.287 |
| 50 | 24 | 13.8 | 1.739 |
| 53 \& OVER | 12 | 9.0 | 1.333 |
| TOTAL | 77 | 55.9 | 1.377 |

## RATES OF RETIREMENT

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED EARLY RETIREMENTS

OLD PLAN

| CENTRAL AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF RETIREMIONTS <br> Current Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 45 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.000 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.000 |
| 50 | 2 | 9.7 | 0.206 | 10 | 14.1 | 0.709 |
| 53 | 2 | 2.5 | 0.800 | 3 | 3.6 | 0.833 |
| 54 | 1 | 2.3 | 0.435 | 1 | 3.5 | 0.286 |
| 55 | 2 | 1.9 | 1.053 | 2 | 5.2 | 0.385 |
| 56 | 1 | 2.2 | 0.455 | 6 | 6.7 | 0.896 |
| 57 | 1 | 1.7 | 0.588 | 3 | 4.2 | 0.714 |
| 58 | 1 | 1.6 | 0.625 | 4 | 3.5 | 1.143 |
| 59 | 2 | 1.7 | 1.176 | 1 | 3.7 | 0.270 |
| TOTAL | 13 | 24.6 | 0.528 | 30 | 45.7 | 0.656 |

NEW PLAN

| CENTRAL AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF REIIREMIDNTS Current Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 45 | 50 | 75.1 | 0.666 | 35 | 34.7 | 1.009 |
| 50 | 103 | 233.1 | 0.442 | 130 | 151.9 | 0.856 |
| 53 | 18 | 41.8 | 0.431 | 27 | 41.6 | 0.649 |
| 54 | 27 | 37.7 | 0.716 | 36 | 42.1 | 0.855 |
| 55 | 16 | 35.3 | 0.453 | 34 | 49.0 | 0.694 |
| 56 | 14 | 33.3 | 0.420 | 34 | 47.0 | 0.723 |
| 57 | 24 | 31.2 | 0.769 | 45 | 51.6 | 0.872 |
| 58 | 27 | 29.6 | 0.912 | 39 | 53.7 | 0.726 |
| 59 | 27 | 24.5 | 1.102 | 49 | 61.1 | 0.802 |
| TOTAL | 306 | 541.6 | 0.565 | 429 | 532.7 | 0.805 |

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED NORMAL RETIREMENTS

OLD PLAN

| CENTRAL AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF REIIREMIENTS Current Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEVMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 52 \& UNDER | 183 | 191.7 | 0.955 | 193 | 207.6 | 0.930 |
| 55 | 476 | 506.2 | 0.940 | 838 | 879.9 | 0.952 |
| 60 | 345 | 348.9 | 0.989 | 572 | 586.6 | 0.975 |
| 65 | 67 | 71.9 | 0.932 | 111 | 120.2 | 0.923 |
| 68 \& OVER | 25 | 40.6 | 0.616 | 35 | 46.9 | 0.746 |
| TOTAL | 1,096 | 1,159.3 | 0.945 | 1,749 | 1,841.2 | 0.950 |

NEW PLAN

| CENTRAL AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF REIIREMIENTS <br> Current Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 52 \& UNDER | 256 | 174.8 | 1.465 | 185 | 132.4 | 1.397 |
| 55 | 235 | 171.3 | 1.372 | 287 | 225.6 | 1.272 |
| 60 | 1,250 | 932.9 | 1.340 | 2,021 | 1,483.0 | 1.363 |
| 65 | 653 | 706.8 | 0.924 | 904 | 939.1 | 0.963 |
| 68 \& OVER | 232 | 293.4 | 0.791 | 227 | 284.8 | 0.797 |
| TOTAL | 2,626 | 2,279.2 | 1.152 | 3,624 | 3,064.9 | 1.182 |

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED NORMAL RETIREMENTS

## POLICE

| AGE | NUMBER OF SERVICE REIIRDMIDNTS <br> Current Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| < 55 | 36 | 50.0 | 0.720 |
| 55 | 16 | 5.7 | 2.807 |
| 56 | 6 | 4.2 | 1.429 |
| 57 | 3 | 3.1 | 0.968 |
| 58 | 3 | 1.9 | 1.579 |
| 59 | 1 | 1.2 | 0.833 |
| 60 | 4 | 3.5 | 1.143 |
| 61 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.667 |
| 62 | 4 | 2.0 | 2.000 |
| 63 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.000 |
| 64 | 2 | 0.8 | 2.500 |
| 65 \& OVER | 1 | 1.3 | 0.769 |
| TOTAL | 77 | 76.0 | 1.013 |

The analysis of the experience reflects that actual early retirement rates were less than expected at most ages. The rates of normal retirement for Old Plan members indicate that there were slightly fewer retirements than expected overall. For New Plan members and Police members, the rates of retirement are greater than expected at most ages except for those age 65 and over where fewer than expected retired during the study period. We recommend an adjustment to the rates to reflect the experience as well as maintain a reasonable degree of margin. The following graphs show a comparison of the present, actual, and proposed rates of service retirements.
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## RATES OF RETIREMENT

 POLICE

The following tables show a comparison of the present and proposed rates of service retirement.

## COMPARATIVE RATES OF RETIREMENT Old Plan

| AGE | EARLY REIIREMIDNT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALLS |  | FEMALDS |  |
|  | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed |
| 45 | $3.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| 50 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| 55 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| 59 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |


|  | NO RMAL REIIREMIENT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALLS |  |  | FEMALDS |  |
|  | AGE | Present | Proposed | Present |  |
|  | ATAGE 60 OR ATTAINMINT OF |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | $9.0 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |  |
| 55 | 11.5 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 10.0 |  |
| 60 | 17.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| 61 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| 62 | 37.0 | 32.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |
| 63 | 28.0 | 20.0 | 34.0 | 25.0 |  |
| 64 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 |  |
| 65 | 30.0 | 35.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |
| 66 | 30.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 40.0 |  |
| 67 | 27.0 | 35.0 | 25.0 | 35.0 |  |
| 68 | 20.0 | 35.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |
| 69 | 45.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 25.0 |  |
| 70 | 45.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |  |
| 75 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

In addition, the following rates are recommended for Old Plan members with 34 or more years of service.

## COMPARATIVE RATES OF RETIREMENT Old Plan (continued)

| AGE | NO RMAL REIIREMINT (continued) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  | FEMALES |  |
|  | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed |
|  | ATTAINMENT OF <br> 34 YEARS OF SERVICE |  |  |  |
| 50 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 55 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 60 | 95.0 | 97.5 | 95.0 | 95.0 |
| 61 | 95.0 | 97.5 | 95.0 | 95.0 |
| 62 | 90.0 | 97.5 | 90.0 | 95.0 |
| 63 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 95.0 |
| 64 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
|  | ATTAINMIENT OF |  |  |  |
|  | GREATER THAN 34 YEARS OF SERVICE |  |  |  |
| 50 | 90.0\% | 90.0\% | 90.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 55 | 90.0 | 75.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| 60 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 55.0 |
| 61 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 55.0 |
| 62 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 65.0 |
| 63 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 50.0 |
| 64 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 60.0 | 50.0 |

## COMPARATIVE RATES OF RETIREMENT New Plan

| AGE | EARLY REIIREMIENT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  | FEMALES |  |
|  | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed |
| 45 | $10.0 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| 50 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| 55 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 6.5 |
| 59 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 15.0 | 12.0 |


| AGE | NO RMAL REIIREMIENT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALLS |  | FEMALES |  |
|  | Present* | Proposed** | Present* | Proposed*** |
| 50 | $50.0 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| 55 | 50.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 |
| 60 | 17.0 | 25.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 |
| 62 | 38.0 | 40.0 | 36.0 | 40.0 |
| 64 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 28.0 | 27.0 |
| 65 | 35.0 | 32.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| 66 | 35.0 | 32.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| 67 | 30.0 | 32.0 | 30.0 | 32.0 |
| 68 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 69 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 70 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 |
| 75 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

* An additional $10 \%$ are assumed to retire in the first year eligible for unreduced retirement with 30 years of service.
** An additional $10 \%$ for ages below 55 and $20 \%$ for ages 55 to 59 are assumed to retire in the first year eligible for unreduced retirement with 30 years of service.
*** An additional $20 \%$ are assumed to retire in the first year eligible for unreduced retirement with 30 years of service before age 60 .


# COMPARATIVE RATES OF RETIREMENT 

| Police |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RATIDS OF REIIRDMINT |  |
|  | Current $^{*}$ | Proposed ${ }^{* *}$ |
| 55 | $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 56 | 10 | 12 |
| 57 | 10 | 12 |
| 58 | 10 | 12 |
| 59 | 10 | 12 |
| 60 | 25 | 30 |
| 61 | 25 | 15 |
| 62 | 25 | 35 |
| 63 | 25 | 25 |
| 64 | 25 | 25 |
| 65 | 25 | 25 |
| 66 | 25 | 25 |
| 67 | 25 | 25 |
| 68 | 25 | 25 |
| 69 | 25 | 25 |
| $70 \&$ OVER | 100 | 100 |

* In addition. $100 \%$ are assumed to retire with 30 years of service before age 55 .
** In addition, $100 \%$ are assumed to retire with 30 years of service on or before age 50 and $75 \%$ are assumed to retire with 30 years of service after age 50 but before age 55 .


## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RETIREMENTS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES OF EARLY RETIREMENT

OLD PLAN

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CENTRAL AGE } \\ & \text { OF GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF REIIREMINNTS <br> Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 45 | 1 | 0.6 | 1.667 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.000 |
| 50 | 2 | 6.4 | 0.313 | 10 | 11.3 | 0.885 |
| 53 | 2 | 1.7 | 1.176 | 3 | 2.9 | 1.034 |
| 54 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.667 | 1 | 2.4 | 0.417 |
| 55 | 2 | 1.9 | 1.053 | 2 | 4.6 | 0.435 |
| 56 | 1 | 1.8 | 0.556 | 6 | 5.6 | 1.071 |
| 57 | 1 | 1.3 | 0.769 | 3 | 3.5 | 0.857 |
| 58 | 1 | 1.4 | 0.714 | 4 | 3.0 | 1.333 |
| 59 | 2 | 1.7 | 1.176 | 1 | 3.1 | 0.323 |
| TOT AL | 13 | 18.3 | 0.710 | 30 | 37.4 | 0.802 |

NEW PLAN

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CENTRAL AGE } \\ & \text { OF GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF REIIREMIENTS <br> Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 45 | 50 | 62.7 | 0.797 | 35 | 35.6 | 0.983 |
| 50 | 103 | 163.2 | 0.631 | 130 | 147.0 | 0.884 |
| 53 | 18 | 29.3 | 0.614 | 27 | 32.0 | 0.844 |
| 54 | 27 | 26.4 | 1.023 | 36 | 38.9 | 0.925 |
| 55 | 16 | 24.7 | 0.648 | 34 | 39.8 | 0.854 |
| 56 | 14 | 23.3 | 0.601 | 34 | 41.1 | 0.827 |
| 57 | 24 | 25.0 | 0.960 | 45 | 45.8 | 0.983 |
| 58 | 27 | 26.6 | 1.015 | 39 | 48.3 | 0.807 |
| 59 | 27 | 27.0 | 1.000 | 49 | 48.8 | 1.004 |
| TOTAL | 306 | 408.2 | 0.750 | 429 | 477.3 | 0.899 |

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RETIREMENTS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES OF NORMAL RETIREMENT

## OLD PLAN

| CENTRAL AGE <br> OF GROUP | NUMBER OF REIIREMINTS <br> Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 52 \& UNDER | 183 | 186.9 | 0.979 | 193 | 200.8 | 0.961 |
| 55 | 476 | 488.0 | 0.975 | 838 | 864.1 | $0.970$ |
| 60 | 345 | 352.0 | 0.980 | 572 | 590.3 | 0.969 |
| 65 | 67 | 73.7 | 0.909 | 111 | 114.4 | 0.970 |
| 68 \& OVER | 25 | 45.3 | 0.552 | 35 | 45.0 | 0.778 |
| TOTAL | 1,096 | 1,145.9 | 0.956 | 1,749 | 1,814.6 | 0.964 |

NEW PLAN

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CENTRAL AGE } \\ \text { OF GROUP } \end{gathered}$ | NUMBER OF REIIREMIDNTS <br> Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 52 \& UNDER | 256 | 234.6 | 1.091 | 185 | 174.5 | 1.060 |
| 55 | 235 | 224.7 | 1.046 | 287 | 276.6 | 1.038 |
| 60 | 1,250 | 1,149.3 | 1.088 | 2,021 | 1,885.6 | 1.072 |
| 65 | 653 | 687.8 | 0.949 | 904 | 918.4 | 0.984 |
| 68 \& OVER | 232 | 331.9 | 0.699 | 227 | 297.9 | 0.762 |
| TOT AL | 2,626 | 2,628.3 | 0.999 | 3,624 | 3,553.0 | 1.020 |

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RETIREMENTS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES OF NORMAL RETIREMENT

POLICE

| AGE | NUMBER OF SERVICEREIIREMIDNTS |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Proposed Rates |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| $<55$ | 36 | 39.5 | 0.911 |
| 55 | 16 | 11.4 | 1.404 |
| 56 | 6 | 5.0 | 1.200 |
| 57 | 3 | 3.7 | 0.811 |
| 58 | 3 | 2.3 | 1.304 |
| 59 | 1 | 1.4 | 0.714 |
| 60 | 4 | 4.2 | 0.952 |
| 61 | 1 | 0.9 | 1.111 |
| 62 | 4 | 2.8 | 1.429 |
| 63 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.000 |
| 64 | 2 | 0.8 | 2.500 |
| $65 \&$ OVER | 1 | 1.3 | 0.769 |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 77 |  |  |

## RATES OF MORTALITY

## Pre-Retirement Mortality

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED PRE-RETIREMENT DEATHS

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CENTRAL } \\ \text { AGE } \\ \text { OF GROUP } \end{gathered}$ | NUMBER OF PRE-REIIREMIENT DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Ratio of Actual } \\ \text { to Expected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 20 | 1 | 0.9 | 1.111 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 1 | 3.3 | 0.303 | 3 | 2.6 | 1.154 |
| 30 | 3 | 5.6 | 0.536 | 3 | 6.2 | 0.484 |
| 35 | 10 | 10.1 | 0.990 | 3 | 11.6 | 0.259 |
| 40 | 14 | 17.7 | 0.791 | 16 | 19.2 | 0.833 |
| 45 | 17 | 27.3 | 0.623 | 16 | 32.8 | 0.488 |
| 50 | 21 | 41.8 | 0.502 | 17 | 55.3 | 0.307 |
| 55 | 32 | 64.5 | 0.496 | 33 | 81.0 | 0.407 |
| 58 \& OVER | 66 | 177.7 | 0.371 | 41 | 177.9 | 0.230 |
| TOTAL | 165 | 348.9 | 0.473 | 132 | 386.9 | 0.341 |

During the period under investigation, the actual rates of death in active service were significantly less than expected for both males and females at all ages. We recommend that the rates of mortality in active service for both males and females be changed to the RP-2000 Employee Mortality Table projected to 2025 with projection scale BB. The following graphs show a comparison of the present, actual, and proposed rates of pre-retirement mortality.

PRE-RETIREMENT RATES OF DEATH
Males


Females


The following table shows a comparison of the present and proposed rates of pre-retirement mortality.

COMPARATIVE RATES OF PRE-RETIREMENT MORTALITY

| AGE | MALES |  | FEMALDS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed |
| 20 | $0.0345 \%$ | $0.0320 \%$ | $0.0191 \%$ | $0.0177 \%$ |
| 25 | 0.0376 | 0.0349 | 0.0207 | 0.0192 |
| 30 | 0.0444 | 0.0412 | 0.0264 | 0.0245 |
| 35 | 0.0773 | 0.0717 | 0.0475 | 0.0441 |
| 40 | 0.1079 | 0.1001 | 0.0706 | 0.0655 |
| 45 | 0.1508 | 0.1399 | 0.1124 | 0.1043 |
| 50 | 0.2138 | 0.1983 | 0.1676 | 0.1555 |
| 55 | 0.3624 | 0.2810 | 0.2717 | 0.2228 |
| 60 | 0.6747 | 0.4092 | 0.5055 | 0.3058 |

The following table show a comparison of the actual deaths that occurred during the study period with the expected deaths based on the proposed rates of pre-retirement mortality.

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED CASES OF PRE-RETIREMENT DEATHS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES OF MORTALITY

| CENTRAL <br> AGE <br> OF GROUP | NUMBER OF PRE-REIIREMIENT DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEVMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 20 | 1 | 0.8 | 1.250 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 1 | 3.0 | 0.333 | 3 | 2.4 | 1.250 |
| 30 | 3 | 5.2 | 0.577 | 3 | 5.7 | 0.526 |
| 35 | 10 | 9.3 | 1.075 | 3 | 10.8 | 0.278 |
| 40 | 14 | 16.4 | 0.854 | 16 | 17.8 | 0.899 |
| 45 | 17 | 25.3 | 0.672 | 16 | 30.4 | 0.526 |
| 50 | 21 | 37.5 | 0.560 | 17 | 50.8 | 0.335 |
| 55 | 32 | 49.4 | 0.648 | 33 | 65.5 | 0.504 |
| 58 \& OVER | 66 | 94.7 | 0.697 | 41 | 97.5 | 0.421 |
| TOTAL | 165 | 241.6 | 0.683 | 132 | 281.2 | 0.469 |

## Post-Retirement Mortality Rates

The current mortality used for mortality during the period after service retirement and for beneficiaries of deceased members is the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table. The current rates of disabled mortality are based on the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table set back 9 years for males and set forward 1 year for females. The following shows a comparison of the actual and expected deaths during the study period.

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED CASES OF POST-RETIREMENT DEATHS

| CENTRAL <br> AGE <br> OF GROUP | NUMBER OF POST-REIIREMIENTDEATHS <br> Current Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
|  | SERVICE RETIREMIENTS AND BENEFICIARILS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 \& UNDER | 40 | 21.1 | 1.896 | 38 | 23.0 | 1.652 |
| 60 | 82 | 79.9 | 1.026 | 87 | 92.3 | 0.943 |
| 65 | 219 | 226.0 | 0.969 | 202 | 237.3 | 0.851 |
| 70 | 285 | 306.1 | 0.931 | 259 | 305.5 | 0.848 |
| 75 | 346 | 374.2 | 0.925 | 356 | 384.0 | 0.927 |
| 80 | 421 | 408.8 | 1.030 | 458 | 464.8 | 0.985 |
| 85 | 376 | 374.5 | 1.004 | 602 | 555.3 | 1.084 |
| 90 | 267 | 258.7 | 1.032 | 563 | 515.3 | 1.093 |
| 93 \& OVER | 89 | 90.9 | 0.979 | 317 | 268.4 | 1.181 |
| TOTAL | 2,125 | 2,140.2 | 0.993 | 2,882 | 2,845.9 | 1.013 |
|  | DISABILITY REIIREMIENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 \& UNDER | 25 | 43.2 | 0.579 | 30 | 16.5 | 1.818 |
| 55 | 39 | 48.2 | 0.809 | 42 | 37.1 | 1.132 |
| 60 | 79 | 92.8 | 0.851 | 74 | 71.1 | 1.041 |
| 65 | 90 | 94.9 | 0.948 | 46 | 76.6 | 0.601 |
| 70 | 71 | 51.6 | 1.376 | 65 | 59.6 | 1.091 |
| 75 | 49 | 25.8 | 1.899 | 27 | 33.4 | 0.808 |
| 80 | 25 | 12.3 | 2.033 | 26 | 18.7 | 1.390 |
| 85 | 19 | 9.0 | 2.111 | 15 | 10.5 | 1.429 |
| 90 | 16 | 6.0 | 2.667 | 9 | 6.5 | 1.385 |
| 93 \& OVER | 3 | 1.0 | 3.000 | 5 | 2.2 | 2.273 |
| TOTAL | 416 | 384.8 | 1.081 | 339 | 332.2 | 1.020 |

Overall, the number of actual deaths among service retirements and beneficiaries was close to the number of deaths expected, and the number of deaths among disability retirements was somewhat greater than expected during the period under investigation. We recommend continued use of the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table for service retirements and beneficiaries but in order to provide a margin for anticipated mortality improvement, we recommend projecting the table to 2025 with projection scale BB and setting the table forward 2 years for both males and females. Although an updated mortality table and mortality improvement scale have been published by the Society of Actuaries (SOA), the SOA did not include public sector data in the development of these new tables and relied on private sector data only. The SOA is currently reviewing mortality for the public sector and may issue new tables in time for the next experience study.

For the period after disability retirement, we recommend the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table projected to 2025 with scale BB set back 7 years for males and set forward 3 years for females. The following graphs show a comparison of the present, actual, and proposed rates of postretirement mortality.

## POST-RETIREMENT RATES OF DEATH FOR

 SERVICE RETIREMENTS AND BENEFICIARIES

POST-RETIREMENT RATES OF DEATH FOR DISABILITY RETIREMENTS


Females


The following table shows a comparison of the present and proposed rates of post-retirement mortality.

COMPARATIVE RATES OF POST-RETIREMENT MORTALITY

| AGE | MALES |  | FEMALES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed |
|  | SERVICEREIIREMIENTS AND B ENEFICIARIES |  |  |  |
| 35 | 0.0773\% | 0.0839\% | 0.0475\% | 0.0514\% |
| 40 | 0.1079 | 0.1127 | 0.0706 | 0.0790 |
| 45 | 0.1397 | 0.1609 | 0.1124 | 0.1230 |
| 50 | 0.2138 | 0.2474 | 0.1676 | 0.1872 |
| 55 | 0.3624 | 0.4246 | 0.2717 | 0.2918 |
| 60 | 0.6747 | 0.6985 | 0.5055 | 0.4923 |
| 65 | 1.2737 | 1.1300 | 0.9706 | 0.8994 |
| 70 | 2.2206 | 1.8697 | 1.6742 | 1.5281 |
| 75 | 3.7834 | 3.2147 | 2.8106 | 2.5220 |
| 80 | 6.4368 | 5.5160 | 4.5879 | 4.1628 |
| 85 | 11.0757 | 9.5631 | 7.7446 | 7.1239 |
| 90 | 18.3408 | 17.2787 | 13.1682 | 12.5732 |
| DISABILITY REIIREMIENTS |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 2.2571\% | 2.0938\% | 0.7450\% | 0.6911\% |
| 40 | 2.2571 | 2.0938 | 0.7450 | 0.6911 |
| 45 | 2.2571 | 2.0938 | 0.7450 | 0.9068 |
| 50 | 2.2571 | 2.0938 | 1.1535 | 1.3418 |
| 55 | 2.2571 | 2.4493 | 1.6544 | 1.6124 |
| 60 | 2.7687 | 3.0481 | 2.1839 | 1.8704 |
| 65 | 3.4152 | 3.4701 | 2.8026 | 2.4576 |
| 70 | 4.0668 | 3.6234 | 3.7635 | 3.3845 |
| 75 | 4.8307 | 3.9002 | 5.2230 | 4.6990 |
| 80 | 5.9613 | 5.0230 | 7.2312 | 6.4954 |
| 85 | 7.7512 | 6.6917 | 10.0203 | 9.0559 |
| 90 | 10.3392 | 8.7959 | 14.0490 | 13.9427 |

The following table shows a comparison of the actual deaths during the period under investigation with the expected deaths based on the proposed rates of mortality. The results indicate that there is a margin for future mortality improvement in the recommended tables. The numbers of expected future deaths overall are $9-12 \%$ less than the actual number of deaths that occurred during the study period for service retirements and beneficiaries and for disability retirements.

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED CASES OF POST-RETIREMENT DEATHS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES OF MORTALITY

| CENTRAL <br> AGE <br> OF GROUP | NUMBER OF POST-REIIREMIENT DEATHS <br> Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
|  | SERVICE REIIREMIENTS AND BENEFICIARILS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 \& UNDER | 40 | 23.7 | 1.688 | 38 | 24.3 | 1.564 |
| 60 | 82 | 81.1 | 1.011 | 87 | 89.8 | 0.969 |
| 65 | 219 | 199.8 | 1.096 | 202 | 218.8 | 0.923 |
| 70 | 285 | 259.7 | 1.097 | 259 | 280.0 | 0.925 |
| 75 | 346 | 317.9 | 1.088 | 356 | 345.5 | 1.030 |
| 80 | 421 | 348.2 | 1.209 | 458 | 422.0 | 1.085 |
| 85 | 376 | 324.7 | 1.158 | 602 | 509.5 | 1.182 |
| 90 | 267 | 243.8 | 1.095 | 563 | 490.3 | 1.148 |
| 93 \& OVER | 89 | 91.2 | 0.976 | 317 | 267.4 | 1.185 |
| TOTAL | 2,125 | 1,890.1 | 1.124 | 2,882 | 2,647.6 | 1.089 |
|  | DISABILITY REIIREMIENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 \& UNDER | 25 | 40.1 | 0.623 | 30 | 18.7 | 1.604 |
| 55 | 39 | 52.1 | 0.749 | 42 | 35.8 | 1.173 |
| 60 | 79 | 102.0 | 0.775 | 74 | 61.3 | 1.207 |
| 65 | 90 | 97.2 | 0.926 | 46 | 67.2 | 0.685 |
| 70 | 71 | 46.2 | 1.537 | 65 | 53.5 | 1.215 |
| 75 | 49 | 21.2 | 2.311 | 27 | 30.1 | 0.897 |
| 80 | 25 | 10.3 | 2.427 | 26 | 16.8 | 1.548 |
| 85 | 19 | 7.8 | 2.436 | 15 | 9.5 | 1.579 |
| 90 | 16 | 5.1 | 3.137 | 9 | 6.4 | 1.406 |
| 93 \& OVER | 3 | 0.9 | 3.333 | 5 | 2.3 | 2.174 |
| TOTAL | 416 | 382.9 | 1.086 | 339 | 301.6 | 1.124 |

## RATES OF SALARY INCREASE

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SALARY INCREASE OF ACTIVE MEMBERS

| CLNTRAL <br> AGE <br> OF GROUP | RATES OF SALARY INCREASE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to <br> Expected |
|  | $3.42 \%$ | $5.83 \%$ | 0.587 |
| 25 | $3.96 \%$ | $5.20 \%$ | 0.762 |
| 30 | $3.09 \%$ | $4.19 \%$ | 0.737 |
| 35 | $2.41 \%$ | $3.57 \%$ | 0.675 |
| 40 | $2.02 \%$ | $3.31 \%$ | 0.610 |
| 45 | $1.83 \%$ | $3.28 \%$ | 0.558 |
| 50 | $1.45 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ | 0.446 |
| 55 | $1.06 \%$ | $3.24 \%$ | 0.328 |
| $58+$ | $0.94 \%$ | $3.27 \%$ | 0.288 |
| TOTAL | $1.80 \%$ | $3.47 \%$ | 0.518 |

The current assumed rates of salary increase were significantly greater than the actual rates of increase averaged over the study period for all age categories. We recommend a change to the long-term current salary increase assumption.

Over the past five years, actual rates of salary increase have been less than expected at all service breakdowns. In the economic section of this experience study report, we are recommending the price inflation assumption be reduced from $3.00 \%$ to $2.75 \%$ (see page 4 ). The price inflation assumption is part of our building block approach to determining the salary scale. Therefore the total salary scale will be reduced accordingly at all age intervals.

The average annual rate of inflation over the period was $2.02 \%$ and the apparent real rate of salary increase over this period was determined to be $0.00 \%$. These combined equal an apparent rate of wage inflation of $2.02 \%$. The rates of salary increase assumption will use the $3.25 \%$ rate of wage inflation (inflation plus the real rate of salary increase assumption) as the base rate of increase at all years of service and add the merit/promotion component which varies by age. The table below provides the analysis concerning the development of the merit component of this assumption.

| Central Age | Actual Rate of <br> Increase | Apparent <br> Merit Increase <br> Actual Increase <br> Less Actual Wage <br> Inflation (2.02\%)) | Proposed <br> Assumed <br> Merit <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | $3.84 \%$ | $1.82 \%$ | $3.75 \%$ |
| 27 | $3.69 \%$ | $1.67 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ |
| 32 | $2.80 \%$ | $0.78 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ |
| 37 | $2.17 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ |
| 42 | $1.98 \%$ | $-0.04 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |
| 47 | $1.58 \%$ | $-0.44 \%$ | $0.75 \%$ |
| 52 | $1.34 \%$ | $-0.68 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ |
| 57 | $1.04 \%$ | $-0.98 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ |
| 62 | $0.91 \%$ | $-1.11 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

The proposed assumed rates of merit increases are added to the assumed rate of wage inflation $(3.25 \%)$ for each year of service. The following graph shows the actual, expected, and proposed rates of salary increase.


The following table shows a comparison between the current and proposed rates of salary increase.
COMPARATIVE ASSUMED RATES OF SALARY INCREASE

| RATES OF SALARY INCREASE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | $9.25 \%$ | $7.00 \%$ |
| 25 | $8.25 \%$ | $6.25 \%$ |
| 30 | $6.25 \%$ | $5.15 \%$ |
| 35 | $5.75 \%$ | $4.55 \%$ |
| 40 | $5.45 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ |
| 45 | $5.45 \%$ | $4.05 \%$ |
| 50 | $5.45 \%$ | $3.80 \%$ |
| 55 | $5.45 \%$ | $3.55 \%$ |
| 60 | $5.45 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ |
| $63+$ | $5.45 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ |

## Section V Other Assumptions and Methods

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES: Currently the method used for administrative expenses it to add the budgeted expenses for the fiscal year to the normal cost. We recommend no change to this method

AMORTIZATION METHOD: Currently, the unfunded accrued liability is amortized using a level dollar amortization method. We recommend no change to this amortization method.

ASSETS: Currently the actuarial value of assets recognizes a portion of the difference between the market value of assets and the expected actuarial value of assets, based on the assumed valuation rate of return. The amount recognized each year is $20 \%$ of the difference between market value and expected actuarial value. We recommend maintaining the current smoothing method.

OPTION FACTORS: The option factors currently used by the Retirement System are based on the mortality tables and investment rate of return (discount rate) used in the valuation. We recommend that the factors be revised to be based on the mortality table recommended for the valuation.

SICK LEAVE: We currently assume a load on service for the practice of allowing members to convert forfeited sick leave to service at retirement. We assume one year of additional service for retirement eligibility purposes and we continue to recommend this assumption. In addition, we assume a load on liabilities as follows:

- Old Plan members who retire with 34 years of service $-4.0 \%$
- Old Plan members who retire on normal retirement - 2.5\%
- All New Plan retirements and Old Plan early retirement - 2.0\%

We recommend a change in the assumption as follows

- Old Plan members who retire with 34 years of service $-4.0 \%$
- Old Plan members who retire on normal retirement $-2.0 \%$
- Old Plan members who retire on early retirement $-1.5 \%$
- All New Plan and GSEPS Retirements - 3.00\%
- All Police Retirements - 5.0\%


## ASSUMPTION FOR ACTIVE VESTED MEMBERS TERMINATION BENEFITS:

Currently, we assume that $25 \%$ of active members who terminate with ten or more years of service before retirement will receive a benefit beginning at age 60 and $75 \%$ will receive a refund of member contributions. We recommend changing this to assume that $50 \%$ will receive a benefit and $50 \%$ will receive a refund of member contributions.

VALUATION COST METHOD: Currently the valuation uses the entry age actuarial cost method. This is the most widely used cost method of large public sector plans and has demonstrated the highest degree of stability as compared to alternative methods. We recommend no change to this assumption.

## Section VI

## Special Contribution Rates

We have also determined the impact of the recommended new assumptions on the special employer contribution rates as follows:

Groups that have Age 55 Retirement and Line-of-Duty Disability Benefits:
Public Safety \#466
Revenue Agents \#474
DNR Conservation Rangers \#462
GBI Officers/Agents \#471

| PLAN | Additional Rate | Total Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Old Plan | $0.00 \%$ | $20.03 \%$ |
| New Plan | $5.80 \%$ | $30.58 \%$ |
| GSEPS | $2.18 \%$ | $23.87 \%$ |

Groups that have Line-of-Duty Disability Benefits:
Deputy DNR Conservation Rangers \#462
Probation Officers \#467
Parole Officers - Pardons and Paroles \#465

| PLAN | Additional Rate | Total Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Old Plan | $0.00 \%$ | $20.03 \%$ |
| New Plan | $0.06 \%$ | $24.84 \%$ |
| GSEPS | $0.04 \%$ | $21.73 \%$ |

Group that has Age 55 Retirement:
Special Investigators Department of Revenue \#474

| PLAN | Additional Rate | Total Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| New Plan | $5.74 \%$ | $30.52 \%$ |
| GSEPS | $2.14 \%$ | $23.83 \%$ |

Appellate Court Judges: Total rate equal to $44.79 \%$ of payroll

## Group Term Life Insurance Plan Results

The following table highlights the impact of the recommended changes on the Group Term Life Insurance Plan for pre-retirement benefits.

## Pre-Retirement Benefits

| Impact on Principal Valuation Results <br> (\$ in Thousands) <br> Valuation Results <br> 2014 | Recommended <br> Assumptions |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | $\$(199,481)$ | $\$(211,932)$ |
| Funding Ratio | $656.0 \%$ | $1,004.7 \%$ |
| Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution |  |  |
| Total Normal Rate | $0.16 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ |
| Employee Rates | $0.05 \% *$ | $0.05 \% *$ |
| Old Plan Members | $0.02 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |
| New Plan, LRS and JRS Members | $0.14 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ |
| Employer Normal Rate | $(0.14) \%$ | $(0.09) \%$ |
| Accrued Liability Rate | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total Employer Rate |  |  |

* 0.03\% paid by employer.

The following table highlights the impact of the recommended changes on the Group Term Life Insurance Plan for post-retirement benefits.

## Post-Retirement Benefits

| Impact on Principal Valuation Results (\$ in Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valuation Results 2014 | Recommended Assumptions |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | \$(249,881) | \$ $(283,897)$ |
| Funding Ratio | 131.7\% | 137.7\% |
| Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution |  |  |
| Total Normal Rate | 0.67\% | 0.64\% |
| Employee Rates |  |  |
| Old Plan Members | 0.45\%* | 0.45\%* |
| New Plan, LRS and JRS Members | 0.23\% | 0.23\% |
| Employer Normal Rate | 0.44\% | 0.41\% |
| Accrued Liability Rate | (0.44)\% | (0.41)\% |
| Total Employer Rate | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

* $0.22 \%$ paid by employer.


## APPENDIX A

Historical June CPI (U) Index

| Year | CPI (U) | Year | CPI (U) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1961 | 29.8 | 1989 | 124.1 |
| 1962 | 30.2 | 1990 | 129.9 |
| 1963 | 30.6 | 1991 | 136.0 |
| 1964 | 31.0 | 1992 | 140.2 |
| 1965 | 31.6 | 1993 | 144.4 |
| 1966 | 32.4 | 1994 | 148.0 |
| 1967 | 33.3 | 1995 | 152.5 |
| 1968 | 35.7 | 1996 | 156.7 |
| 1969 | 34.7 | 1997 | 160.3 |
| 1970 | 38.8 | 1998 | 163.0 |
| 1971 | 40.6 | 1999 | 166.2 |
| 1972 | 41.7 | 2000 | 172.4 |
| 1973 | 44.2 | 2001 | 178.0 |
| 1974 | 49.0 | 2002 | 179.9 |
| 1975 | 53.6 | 2003 | 183.7 |
| 1976 | 56.8 | 2004 | 189.7 |
| 1977 | 60.7 | 2005 | 194.5 |
| 1978 | 65.2 | 2006 | 202.9 |
| 1979 | 72.3 | 2007 | 208.352 |
| 1980 | 82.7 | 2008 | 218.815 |
| 1981 | 90.6 | 2009 | 215.693 |
| 1982 | 97.0 | 2010 | 217.965 |
| 1983 | 99.5 | 225.722 |  |
| 1984 | 103.7 | 229.478 |  |
| 1985 | 107.6 | 2011 | 238.504 |
| 1986 | 109.5 | 2014 |  |
|  |  |  | 2033 |

## APPENDIX B

## Capital Market Assumptions and Asset Allocation

Real Rates of Return and Standard Deviations by Asset Class

| Asset Class | Expected Real Rate of Return | Standard Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed Income | $0.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| Domestic Stocks - Large Cap | $9.0 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| Domestic Stocks - Mid Cap | $12.0 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| Domestic Stocks - Small Cap | $13.5 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ |
| Int'l Stocks - Developed Mkt | $8.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| Int'l Stocks - Emerging Mkt | $12.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ |
| Alternatives | $10.5 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ |

## Asset Class Correlation Coefficients

| Asset Class | Fixed <br> Income | Domestic <br> Stocks - <br> Large <br> Cap | Domestic <br> Stocks - <br> Mid <br> Cap | Domestic <br> Stocks - <br> Small <br> Cap | Int'l <br> Stocks - <br> Developed <br> Mkt | Int'l <br> Stocks - <br> Emerging <br> Mkt | Alts |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed Income | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic Stocks - Large Cap | 0.18 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic Stocks - Mid Cap | 0.18 | 0.94 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic Stocks - Small Cap | 0.14 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Int'l Stocks - Developed Mkt | 0.15 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.51 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Int'l Stocks - Emerging Mkt | 0.08 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.69 | 1.00 |  |
| Alternatives | 0.32 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.65 | 0.63 | 1.00 |

Asset Allocation Targets

| Asset Class | Asset Allocation |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fixed Income | $30.0 \%$ |
| Domestic Stocks - Large Cap | $37.2 \%$ |
| Domestic Stocks - Mid Cap | $3.4 \%$ |
| Domestic Stocks - Small Cap | $1.4 \%$ |
| Int'l Stocks - Developed Mkt | $17.8 \%$ |
| Int'l Stocks - Emerging Mkt | $5.2 \%$ |
| Alternatives | $5.0 \%$ |

## APPENDIX C

Social Security Administration Wage Index

| Year | Wage Index | Annual <br> Increase | Year | Wage Index | Annual <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | $\$ 4,007.12$ | $3.92 \%$ | 1988 | $\$ 19,334.04$ | $4.93 \%$ |
| 1961 | $4,086.76$ | 1.99 | 1989 | $20,099.55$ | 3.96 |
| 1962 | $4,291.40$ | 5.01 | 1990 | $21,027.98$ | 4.62 |
| 1963 | $4,396.64$ | 2.45 | 1991 | $21,811.60$ | 3.73 |
| 1964 | $4,576.32$ | 4.09 | 1992 | $22,935.42$ | 5.15 |
| 1965 | $4,658.72$ | 1.80 | 1993 | $23,132.67$ | 0.86 |
| 1966 | $4,938.36$ | 6.00 | 1994 | $23,753.53$ | 2.68 |
| 1967 | $5,213.44$ | 5.57 | 1995 | $24,705.66$ | 4.01 |
| 1968 | $5,571.76$ | 6.87 | 1996 | $25,913.90$ | 4.89 |
| 1969 | $5,893.76$ | 5.78 | 1997 | $27,426.00$ | 5.84 |
| 1970 | $6,186.24$ | 4.96 | 1998 | $28,861.44$ | 5.23 |
| 1971 | $6,497.08$ | 5.02 | 1999 | $30,469.84$ | 5.57 |
| 1972 | $7,133.80$ | 9.80 | 2000 | $32,154.82$ | 5.53 |
| 1973 | $7,580.16$ | 6.26 | 2001 | $32,921.92$ | 2.39 |
| 1974 | $8,030.76$ | 5.94 | 2002 | $33,252.09$ | 1.00 |
| 1975 | $8,630.92$ | 7.47 | 2003 | $34,064.95$ | 2.44 |
| 1976 | $9,226.48$ | 6.90 | 2004 | $35,648.55$ | 4.65 |
| 1977 | $9,779.44$ | 5.99 | 2005 | $36,952.94$ | 3.66 |
| 1978 | $10,556.03$ | 7.94 | 2006 | $38,651.41$ | 4.60 |
| 1979 | $11,479.46$ | 8.75 | 2007 | $40,405.48$ | 4.54 |
| 1980 | $12,513.46$ | 9.01 | 2008 | $41,334.97$ | 2.30 |
| 1981 | $13,773.10$ | 10.07 | 2009 | $40,711.61$ | -1.51 |
| 1982 | $14,531.34$ | 5.51 | 2010 | $41,673.83$ | 2.36 |
| 1983 | $15,239.24$ | 4.87 | 2011 | $42,979.61$ | 3.13 |
| 1984 | $16,135.07$ | 5.88 | 2012 | $44,321.67$ | 3.12 |
| 1985 | $16,822.51$ | 4.26 | 2013 | $44,888.16$ | 1.28 |
| 1986 | $17,321.82$ | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| 1987 | $18,426.51$ | 6.38 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D

TABLE 1

## RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE - MALES (NON-POLICE)

| AGE | RATES OF WITHIDRAWAL |  |  | RATES OF DEATH | RATES OF DISABILITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | YEARS OF SERVICE |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10+ |  |  |
| 19 | 0.3500 |  |  | 0.000307 | 0.000500 |
| 20 | 0.3500 |  |  | 0.000320 | 0.000500 |
| 21 | 0.3500 |  |  | 0.000331 | 0.000500 |
| 22 | 0.3500 |  |  | 0.000340 | 0.000500 |
| 23 | 0.3000 | 0.1500 |  | 0.000346 | 0.000500 |
| 24 | 0.2875 | 0.1500 |  | 0.000349 | 0.000500 |
| 25 | 0.2750 | 0.1500 |  | 0.000349 | 0.000500 |
| 26 | 0.2660 | 0.1500 |  | 0.000351 | 0.000500 |
| 27 | 0.2570 | 0.1500 |  | 0.000354 | 0.000500 |
| 28 | 0.2400 | 0.1250 | 0.0750 | 0.000365 | 0.000500 |
| 29 | 0.2350 | 0.1220 | 0.0750 | 0.000382 | 0.000500 |
| 30 | 0.2300 | 0.1150 | 0.0750 | 0.000412 | 0.000500 |
| 31 | 0.2270 | 0.1120 | 0.0750 | 0.000463 | 0.000500 |
| 32 | 0.2240 | 0.1090 | 0.0750 | 0.000521 | 0.000500 |
| 33 | 0.2210 | 0.1060 | 0.0680 | 0.000585 | 0.000500 |
| 34 | 0.2180 | 0.1030 | 0.0630 | 0.000651 | 0.000500 |
| 35 | 0.2150 | 0.1000 | 0.0600 | 0.000717 | 0.000500 |
| 36 | 0.2110 | 0.0990 | 0.0575 | 0.000780 | 0.000900 |
| 37 | 0.2070 | 0.0980 | 0.0550 | 0.000839 | 0.001300 |
| 38 | 0.2030 | 0.0970 | 0.0525 | 0.000894 | 0.001700 |
| 39 | 0.1990 | 0.0960 | 0.0500 | 0.000947 | 0.002100 |
| 40 | 0.1950 | 0.0950 | 0.0475 | 0.001001 | 0.002500 |
| 41 | 0.1930 | 0.0940 | 0.0460 | 0.001059 | 0.002960 |
| 42 | 0.1910 | 0.0930 | 0.0445 | 0.001127 | 0.003420 |
| 43 | 0.1900 | 0.0920 | 0.0430 | 0.001205 | 0.003880 |
| 44 | 0.1880 | 0.0910 | 0.0415 | 0.001296 | 0.004340 |
| 45 | 0.1860 | 0.0900 | 0.0400 | 0.001399 | 0.004800 |
| 46 | 0.1820 | 0.0880 | 0.0405 | 0.001499 | 0.005240 |
| 47 | 0.1780 | 0.0860 | 0.0410 | 0.001609 | 0.005680 |
| 48 | 0.1750 | 0.0750 | 0.0415 | 0.001725 | 0.006120 |
| 49 | 0.1710 | 0.0750 | 0.0420 | 0.001851 | 0.006560 |
| 50 | 0.1660 | 0.0725 | 0.0425 | 0.001983 | 0.007000 |
| 51 | 0.1590 | 0.0700 | 0.0433 | 0.002122 | 0.007667 |
| 52 | 0.1530 | 0.0700 | 0.0442 | 0.002271 | 0.008333 |
| 53 | 0.1450 | 0.0700 | 0.0450 | 0.002431 | 0.009000 |
| 54 | 0.1450 | 0.0700 | 0.0475 | 0.002609 | 0.009800 |
| 55 | 0.1450 | 0.0700 | 0.0475 | 0.002810 | 0.010500 |
| 56 | 0.1450 | 0.0650 | 0.0475 | 0.003067 | 0.011000 |
| 57 | 0.1350 | 0.0600 | 0.0475 | 0.003282 | 0.011500 |
| 58 | 0.1350 | 0.0600 | 0.0475 | 0.003526 | 0.012000 |
| 59 | 0.1350 | 0.0600 | 0.0475 | 0.003797 | 0.012500 |
| 60 | 0.1400 | 0.0600 | 0.0475 | 0.004092 | 0.000000 |
| 61 | 0.1450 | 0.0850 | 0.0475 | 0.004403 | 0.000000 |
| 62 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 | 0.0475 | 0.004721 | 0.000000 |
| 63 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 | 0.0475 | 0.005034 | 0.000000 |
| 64 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 | 0.0475 | 0.005330 | 0.000000 |
| 65 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 | 0.0475 | 0.005600 | 0.000000 |
| 66 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 | 0.0475 | 0.005839 | 0.000000 |
| 67 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 | 0.0475 | 0.006044 | 0.000000 |
| 68 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 | 0.0475 | 0.006215 | 0.000000 |
| 69 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 | 0.0475 | 0.006518 | 0.000000 |
| 70 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 | 0.0475 | 0.006800 | 0.000000 |

TABLE 2
RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE - MALES (NON-POLICE)

| AGE | RATES OF SERVICE REIIREMIENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OLD PLAN |  |  |  | NEW PLAN |  |
|  | EARLY REIIREMIENT | AGE60 OR <br> 30 YEARS | 34 YEARS | MORETHAN <br> 34 YEARS | EARLY REIIREMIENT | NORMAL REITRDMIENT: |
| 49 | 0.020 | 0.075 | 1.000 | 0.900 | 0.070 | 0.700 |
| 50 | 0.020 | 0.075 | 1.000 | 0.900 | 0.070 | 0.700 |
| 51 | 0.020 | 0.075 | 1.000 | 0.900 | 0.070 | 0.700 |
| 52 | 0.020 | 0.075 | 1.000 | 0.900 | 0.070 | 0.700 |
| 53 | 0.020 | 0.075 | 1.000 | 0.900 | 0.070 | 0.600 |
| 54 | 0.020 | 0.075 | 1.000 | 0.750 | 0.070 | 0.600 |
| 55 | 0.030 | 0.075 | 1.000 | 0.750 | 0.070 | 0.600 |
| 56 | 0.030 | 0.075 | 1.000 | 0.700 | 0.070 | 0.600 |
| 57 | 0.030 | 0.105 | 1.000 | 0.700 | 0.080 | 0.500 |
| 58 | 0.045 | 0.140 | 0.975 | 0.700 | 0.090 | 0.450 |
| 59 | 0.060 | 0.175 | 0.975 | 0.700 | 0.110 | 0.350 |
| 60 |  | 0.150 | 0.975 | 0.400 |  | 0.250 |
| 61 |  | 0.200 | 0.975 | 0.400 |  | 0.220 |
| 62 |  | 0.320 | 0.975 | 0.400 |  | 0.400 |
| 63 |  | 0.200 | 0.900 | 0.400 |  | 0.300 |
| 64 |  | 0.200 | 0.900 | 0.150 |  | 0.250 |
| 65 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.320 |
| 66 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.320 |
| 67 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.320 |
| 68 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.250 |
| 69 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.250 |
| 70 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.300 |
| 71 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.300 |
| 72 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.220 |
| 73 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.220 |
| 74 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.220 |
| 75 |  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |

[^1]TABLE 3

## RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE - FEMALES (NON-POLICE)

| AGE | RATES OF WITHIDRAWAL |  |  | RATES OF DEATH | RATES OF DISABILITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | YEARS OF SERVICE |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10+ |  |  |
| 19 | 0.3000 |  |  | 0.000176 | 0.000200 |
| 20 | 0.3000 |  |  | 0.000177 | 0.000200 |
| 21 | 0.3000 |  |  | 0.000178 | 0.000200 |
| 22 | 0.3000 |  |  | 0.000180 | 0.000200 |
| 23 | 0.2800 | 0.1750 |  | 0.000183 | 0.000200 |
| 24 | 0.2650 | 0.1750 |  | 0.000186 | 0.000200 |
| 25 | 0.2500 | 0.1750 |  | 0.000192 | 0.000200 |
| 26 | 0.2430 | 0.1750 |  | 0.000199 | 0.000200 |
| 27 | 0.2360 | 0.1750 |  | 0.000207 | 0.000200 |
| 28 | 0.2200 | 0.1400 | 0.0825 | 0.000218 | 0.000200 |
| 29 | 0.2175 | 0.1350 | 0.0825 | 0.000230 | 0.000200 |
| 30 | 0.2150 | 0.1250 | 0.0825 | 0.000245 | 0.000200 |
| 31 | 0.2110 | 0.1210 | 0.0825 | 0.000285 | 0.000200 |
| 32 | 0.2070 | 0.1170 | 0.0825 | 0.000325 | 0.000200 |
| 33 | 0.2030 | 0.1130 | 0.0690 | 0.000365 | 0.000200 |
| 34 | 0.1990 | 0.1090 | 0.0645 | 0.000404 | 0.000200 |
| 35 | 0.1950 | 0.1050 | 0.0600 | 0.000441 | 0.000200 |
| 36 | 0.1925 | 0.1030 | 0.0580 | 0.000477 | 0.000360 |
| 37 | 0.1900 | 0.1010 | 0.0560 | 0.000514 | 0.000520 |
| 38 | 0.1875 | 0.0990 | 0.0540 | 0.000555 | 0.000680 |
| 39 | 0.1850 | 0.0970 | 0.0520 | 0.000601 | 0.000840 |
| 40 | 0.1825 | 0.0950 | 0.0500 | 0.000655 | 0.001000 |
| 41 | 0.1790 | 0.0920 | 0.0480 | 0.000718 | 0.001300 |
| 42 | 0.1755 | 0.0890 | 0.0460 | 0.000790 | 0.001600 |
| 43 | 0.1720 | 0.0860 | 0.0440 | 0.000869 | 0.001900 |
| 44 | 0.1685 | 0.0830 | 0.0420 | 0.000955 | 0.002200 |
| 45 | 0.1650 | 0.0800 | 0.0400 | 0.001043 | 0.002500 |
| 46 | 0.1620 | 0.0785 | 0.0405 | 0.001135 | 0.002900 |
| 47 | 0.1590 | 0.0770 | 0.0410 | 0.001230 | 0.003300 |
| 48 | 0.1560 | 0.0755 | 0.0415 | 0.001330 | 0.003700 |
| 49 | 0.1530 | 0.0740 | 0.0420 | 0.001438 | 0.004100 |
| 50 | 0.1500 | 0.0725 | 0.0425 | 0.001555 | 0.004500 |
| 51 | 0.1467 | 0.0717 | 0.0433 | 0.001683 | 0.004833 |
| 52 | 0.1433 | 0.0708 | 0.0442 | 0.001825 | 0.005167 |
| 53 | 0.1400 | 0.0700 | 0.0450 | 0.001981 | 0.005500 |
| 54 | 0.1400 | 0.0700 | 0.0450 | 0.002100 | 0.006500 |
| 55 | 0.1400 | 0.0700 | 0.0450 | 0.002228 | 0.007300 |
| 56 | 0.1400 | 0.0700 | 0.0450 | 0.002371 | 0.008000 |
| 57 | 0.1400 | 0.0700 | 0.0450 | 0.002525 | 0.008500 |
| 58 | 0.1400 | 0.0600 | 0.0450 | 0.002692 | 0.009000 |
| 59 | 0.1400 | 0.0600 | 0.0450 | 0.002871 | 0.009500 |
| 60 | 0.1450 | 0.0625 | 0.0450 | 0.003058 | 0.000000 |
| 61 | 0.1500 | 0.0750 | 0.0450 | 0.003250 | 0.000000 |
| 62 | 0.1700 | 0.1100 | 0.0450 | 0.003443 | 0.000000 |
| 63 | 0.1700 | 0.1100 | 0.0450 | 0.003726 | 0.000000 |
| 64 | 0.1700 | 0.1100 | 0.0450 | 0.004015 | 0.000000 |
| 65 | 0.1700 | 0.1100 | 0.0450 | 0.004304 | 0.000000 |
| 66 | 0.1700 | 0.1100 | 0.0450 | 0.004590 | 0.000000 |
| 67 | 0.1700 | 0.1100 | 0.0450 | 0.004868 | 0.000000 |
| 68 | 0.1700 | 0.1100 | 0.0450 | 0.005136 | 0.000000 |
| 69 | 0.1700 | 0.1100 | 0.0450 | 0.005390 | 0.000000 |
| 70 | 0.1700 | 0.1100 | 0.0450 | 0.005630 | 0.000000 |

TABLE 4

## RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE - FEMALES (NON-POLICE) (Continued)

| AGE | RATES OF SERVICE REIIREMIENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OLD PLAN |  |  |  | NEW PLAN |  |
|  | EARLY REIIREMIDNT | AGE60 OR 30 YEARS | 34 YEARS | MORETHAN 34 YEARS | EARLY REIIREMIDNT | NORMAL REITREMENT* |
| 49 | 0.020 | 0.060 | 1.000 | 0.900 | 0.045 | 0.450 |
| 50 | 0.020 | 0.060 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.045 | 0.500 |
| 51 | 0.020 | 0.060 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.045 | 0.500 |
| 52 | 0.020 | 0.060 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.045 | 0.450 |
| 53 | 0.020 | 0.060 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.050 | 0.450 |
| 54 | 0.020 | 0.075 | 1.000 | 0.900 | 0.060 | 0.450 |
| 55 | 0.035 | 0.100 | 1.000 | 0.900 | 0.065 | 0.500 |
| 56 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 1.000 | 0.700 | 0.070 | 0.400 |
| 57 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 1.000 | 0.700 | 0.080 | 0.400 |
| 58 | 0.050 | 0.140 | 1.000 | 0.650 | 0.090 | 0.400 |
| 59 | 0.050 | 0.160 | 0.950 | 0.650 | 0.120 | 0.400 |
| 60 |  | 0.200 | 0.950 | 0.550 |  | 0.300 |
| 61 |  | 0.200 | 0.950 | 0.550 |  | 0.220 |
| 62 |  | 0.400 | 0.950 | 0.650 |  | 0.400 |
| 63 |  | 0.250 | 0.950 | 0.500 |  | 0.280 |
| 64 |  | 0.250 | 0.900 | 0.500 |  | 0.270 |
| 65 |  | 0.400 | 0.400 | 0.400 |  | 0.350 |
| 66 |  | 0.400 | 0.400 | 0.400 |  | 0.350 |
| 67 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.320 |
| 68 |  | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |  | 0.250 |
| 69 |  | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |  | 0.250 |
| 70 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.300 |
| 71 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.300 |
| 72 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.250 |
| 73 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.250 |
| 74 |  | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  | 0.250 |
| 75 |  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |

[^2]TABLE 5
RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE - POLICE

| AGE | RATES OF WITHIDRAWAL |  | DEATH |  | DISABILITY | RETIREMIENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With less than 10 years of service | With 10 or more years of service | MALE | FEMALE |  |  |
| 19 | 0.1500 |  | 0.000307 | 0.000176 | 0.00020 |  |
| 20 | 0.1500 |  | 0.000320 | 0.000177 | 0.00020 |  |
| 21 | 0.1500 |  | 0.000331 | 0.000178 | 0.00026 |  |
| 22 | 0.1500 |  | 0.000340 | 0.000180 | 0.00032 |  |
| 23 | 0.0575 |  | 0.000346 | 0.000183 | 0.00038 |  |
| 24 | 0.0575 |  | 0.000349 | 0.000186 | 0.00044 |  |
| 25 | 0.0575 | 0.0400 | 0.000349 | 0.000192 | 0.00050 |  |
| 26 | 0.0575 | 0.0400 | 0.000351 | 0.000199 | 0.00056 |  |
| 27 | 0.0575 | 0.0400 | 0.000354 | 0.000207 | 0.00062 |  |
| 28 | 0.0575 | 0.0400 | 0.000365 | 0.000218 | 0.00068 |  |
| 29 | 0.0575 | 0.0400 | 0.000382 | 0.000230 | 0.00074 |  |
| 30 | 0.0575 | 0.0400 | 0.000412 | 0.000245 | 0.00080 |  |
| 31 | 0.0575 | 0.0395 | 0.000463 | 0.000285 | 0.00096 |  |
| 32 | 0.0575 | 0.0390 | 0.000521 | 0.000325 | 0.00112 |  |
| 33 | 0.0575 | 0.0385 | 0.000585 | 0.000365 | 0.00128 |  |
| 34 | 0.0575 | 0.0380 | 0.000651 | 0.000404 | 0.00144 |  |
| 35 | 0.0575 | 0.0375 | 0.000717 | 0.000441 | 0.00160 |  |
| 36 | 0.0575 | 0.0360 | 0.000780 | 0.000477 | 0.00298 |  |
| 37 | 0.0575 | 0.0345 | 0.000839 | 0.000514 | 0.00436 |  |
| 38 | 0.0575 | 0.0330 | 0.000894 | 0.000555 | 0.00574 |  |
| 39 | 0.0575 | 0.0315 | 0.000947 | 0.000601 | 0.00712 |  |
| 40 | 0.0575 | 0.0300 | 0.001001 | 0.000655 | 0.00850 |  |
| 41 | 0.0575 | 0.0280 | 0.001059 | 0.000718 | 0.00960 |  |
| 42 | 0.0575 | 0.0260 | 0.001127 | 0.000790 | 0.01070 |  |
| 43 | 0.0575 | 0.0240 | 0.001205 | 0.000869 | 0.01180 |  |
| 44 | 0.0575 | 0.0220 | 0.001296 | 0.000955 | 0.01290 |  |
| 45 | 0.0575 | 0.0200 | 0.001399 | 0.001043 | 0.01400 |  |
| 46 | 0.0575 | 0.0200 | 0.001499 | 0.001135 | 0.01520 |  |
| 47 | 0.0575 | 0.0200 | 0.001609 | 0.001230 | 0.01640 |  |
| 48 | 0.0575 | 0.0200 | 0.001725 | 0.001330 | 0.01760 |  |
| 49 | 0.0575 | 0.0200 | 0.001851 | 0.001438 | 0.01880 |  |
| 50 | 0.0575 | 0.0200 | 0.001983 | 0.001555 | 0.02000 |  |
| 51 | 0.0575 | 0.0200 | 0.002122 | 0.001683 | 0.02140 |  |
| 52 | 0.0575 | 0.0200 | 0.002271 | 0.001825 | 0.02280 |  |
| 53 | 0.0575 | 0.0200 | 0.002431 | 0.001981 | 0.02420 |  |
| 54 | 0.0575 | 0.0200 | 0.002609 | 0.002100 | 0.02560 |  |
| 55 |  |  | 0.002810 | 0.002228 | 0.02700 | 0.200 |
| 56 |  |  | 0.003067 | 0.002371 | 0.02660 | 0.120 |
| 57 |  |  | 0.003282 | 0.002525 | 0.02620 | 0.120 |
| 58 |  |  | 0.003526 | 0.002692 | 0.02580 | 0.120 |
| 59 |  |  | 0.003797 | 0.002871 | 0.02540 | 0.120 |
| 60 |  |  | 0.004092 | 0.003058 |  | 0.300 |
| 61 |  |  | 0.004403 | 0.003250 |  | 0.150 |
| 62 |  |  | 0.004721 | 0.003443 |  | 0.350 |
| 63 |  |  | 0.005034 | 0.003726 |  | 0.250 |
| 64 |  |  | 0.005330 | 0.004015 |  | 0.250 |
| 65 |  |  | 0.005600 | 0.004304 |  | 0.250 |
| 66 |  |  | 0.005839 | 0.004590 |  | 0.250 |
| 67 |  |  | 0.006044 | 0.004868 |  | 0.250 |
| 68 |  |  | 0.006215 | 0.005136 |  | 0.250 |
| 69 |  |  | 0.006518 | 0.005390 |  | 0.250 |
| 70 |  |  | 0.006800 | 0.005630 |  | 1.000 |

[^3]TABLE 6

## RATES OF ANTICIPATED SALARY INCREASES

(For Both Males and Females)

| AGE | SALARY INCREASES |
| :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 0.0700 |
| 20 | 0.0700 |
| 21 | 0.0700 |
| 22 | 0.0700 |
| 23 | 0.0675 |
| 24 | 0.0650 |
| 25 | 0.0625 |
| 26 | 0.0600 |
| 27 | 0.0575 |
| 28 | 0.0555 |
| 29 | 0.0535 |
| 30 | 0.0515 |
| 31 | 0.0495 |
| 32 | 0.0475 |
| 33 | 0.0465 |
| 34 | 0.0460 |
| 35 | 0.0455 |
| 36 | 0.0450 |
| 37 | 0.0445 |
| 38 | 0.0440 |
| 39 | 0.0435 |
| 40 | 0.0430 |
| 41 | 0.0425 |
| 42 | 0.0420 |
| 43 | 0.0415 |
| 44 | 0.0410 |
| 45 | 0.0405 |
| 46 | 0.0400 |
| 47 | 0.0395 |
| 48 | 0.0390 |
| 49 | 0.0385 |
| 50 | 0.0380 |
| 51 | 0.0375 |
| 52 | 0.0370 |
| 53 | 0.0365 |
| 54 | 0.0360 |
| 55 | 0.0355 |
| 56 | 0.0350 |
| 57 | 0.0345 |
| 58 | 0.0340 |
| 59 | 0.0335 |
| 60 | 0.0330 |
| 61 | 0.0325 |
| 62 | 0.0325 |
| 63 | 0.0325 |
| 64 | 0.0325 |
| 65 | 0.0325 |
| 66 | 0.0325 |
| 67 | 0.0325 |
| 68 | 0.0325 |
| 69 | 0.0325 |
| 70 | 0.0325 |

TABLE 7

## RATES OF MORTALITY FOR MEMBERS RETIRED ON ACCOUNT OF SERVICE AND BENEFICIARIES OF DECEASED MEMBERS

| AGE | MALES | FEMALES | AGE | MALES | FEMALES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 0.000331 | 0.000178 | 71 | 0.020825 | 0.016986 |
| 20 | 0.000340 | 0.000180 | 72 | 0.023233 | 0.018826 |
| 21 | 0.000346 | 0.000183 | 73 | 0.025929 | 0.020784 |
| 22 | 0.000349 | 0.000186 | 74 | 0.028900 | 0.022899 |
| 23 | 0.000349 | 0.000192 | 75 | 0.032147 | 0.025220 |
| 24 | 0.000351 | 0.000199 | 76 | 0.035722 | 0.027801 |
| 25 | 0.000354 | 0.000207 | 77 | 0.039700 | 0.030693 |
| 26 | 0.000365 | 0.000218 | 78 | 0.044114 | 0.033926 |
| 27 | 0.000382 | 0.000230 | 79 | 0.049373 | 0.037551 |
| 28 | 0.000412 | 0.000245 | 80 | 0.055160 | 0.041628 |
| 29 | 0.000463 | 0.000285 | 81 | 0.061487 | 0.046222 |
| 30 | 0.000521 | 0.000325 | 82 | 0.068382 | 0.051406 |
| 31 | 0.000585 | 0.000365 | 83 | 0.075906 | 0.057269 |
| 32 | 0.000651 | 0.000404 | 84 | 0.084158 | 0.063873 |
| 33 | 0.000717 | 0.000441 | 85 | 0.095631 | 0.071239 |
| 34 | 0.000780 | 0.000477 | 86 | 0.108574 | 0.079348 |
| 35 | 0.000839 | 0.000514 | 87 | 0.123063 | 0.088111 |
| 36 | 0.000894 | 0.000555 | 88 | 0.139099 | 0.099870 |
| 37 | 0.000947 | 0.000601 | 89 | 0.155385 | 0.112476 |
| 38 | 0.001001 | 0.000655 | 90 | 0.172787 | 0.125732 |
| 39 | 0.001059 | 0.000718 | 91 | 0.191152 | 0.139427 |
| 40 | 0.001127 | 0.000790 | 92 | 0.210317 | 0.153358 |
| 41 | 0.001205 | 0.000869 | 93 | 0.230128 | 0.167340 |
| 42 | 0.001296 | 0.000955 | 94 | 0.250467 | 0.181190 |
| 43 | 0.001399 | 0.001043 | 95 | 0.271263 | 0.194718 |
| 44 | 0.001499 | 0.001135 | 96 | 0.285234 | 0.202595 |
| 45 | 0.001609 | 0.001230 | 97 | 0.306313 | 0.214644 |
| 46 | 0.001725 | 0.001330 | 98 | 0.319624 | 0.220284 |
| 47 | 0.001851 | 0.001438 | 99 | 0.341120 | 0.232882 |
| 48 | 0.001983 | 0.001555 | 100 | 0.353540 | 0.242074 |
| 49 | 0.002272 | 0.001718 | 101 | 0.373578 | 0.259472 |
| 50 | 0.002474 | 0.001872 | 102 | 0.382320 | 0.272162 |
| 51 | 0.002705 | 0.002047 | 103 | 0.397886 | 0.293116 |
| 52 | 0.002965 | 0.002193 | 104 | 0.400000 | 0.307811 |
| 53 | 0.003362 | 0.002397 | 105 | 0.400000 | 0.322725 |
| 54 | 0.003896 | 0.002658 | 106 | 0.400000 | 0.337441 |
| 55 | 0.004246 | 0.002918 | 107 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 |
| 56 | 0.004652 | 0.003209 | 108 | 0.400000 | 0.364617 |
| 57 | 0.005115 | 0.003543 | 109 | 0.400000 | 0.376246 |
| 58 | 0.005660 | 0.003932 | 110 | 0.400000 | 0.386015 |
| 59 | 0.006280 | 0.004409 | 111 | 0.400000 | 0.393507 |
| 60 | 0.006985 | 0.004923 | 112 | 0.400000 | 0.398308 |
| 61 | 0.007788 | 0.005656 | 113 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 62 | 0.008555 | 0.006374 | 114 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 63 | 0.009419 | 0.007177 | 115 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 64 | 0.010389 | 0.008100 | 116 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 65 | 0.011300 | 0.008994 | 117 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 66 | 0.012248 | 0.009942 | 118 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| 67 | 0.013571 | 0.010989 | 119 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| 68 | 0.015219 | 0.012380 | 120 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| 69 | 0.016839 | 0.013739 |  |  |  |
| 70 | 0.018697 | 0.015281 |  |  |  |

TABLE 8

## RATES OF MORTALITY FOR MEMBERS RETIRED ON ACCOUNT OF DISABILITY

| AGE | MALES | FEMALES | AGE | MALES | FEMALES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 71 | 0.036637 | 0.036157 |
| 20 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 72 | 0.037102 | 0.038623 |
| 21 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 73 | 0.037645 | 0.041246 |
| 22 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 74 | 0.038275 | 0.044032 |
| 23 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 75 | 0.039002 | 0.046990 |
| 24 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 76 | 0.040855 | 0.050131 |
| 25 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 77 | 0.042891 | 0.053473 |
| 26 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 78 | 0.045123 | 0.057039 |
| 27 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 79 | 0.047566 | 0.060857 |
| 28 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 80 | 0.050230 | 0.064954 |
| 29 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 81 | 0.053122 | 0.069358 |
| 30 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 82 | 0.056244 | 0.074098 |
| 31 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 83 | 0.059591 | 0.079197 |
| 32 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 84 | 0.063153 | 0.084679 |
| 33 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 85 | 0.066917 | 0.090559 |
| 34 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 86 | 0.070859 | 0.096851 |
| 35 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 87 | 0.074957 | 0.106215 |
| 36 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 88 | 0.079187 | 0.116438 |
| 37 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 89 | 0.083527 | 0.127572 |
| 38 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 90 | 0.087959 | 0.139427 |
| 39 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 91 | 0.092468 | 0.153358 |
| 40 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 92 | 0.097046 | 0.167340 |
| 41 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 93 | 0.101687 | 0.181190 |
| 42 | 0.020938 | 0.006911 | 94 | 0.109122 | 0.194718 |
| 43 | 0.020938 | 0.007592 | 95 | 0.116934 | 0.202595 |
| 44 | 0.020938 | 0.008311 | 96 | 0.125144 | 0.214644 |
| 45 | 0.020938 | 0.009068 | 97 | 0.139099 | 0.220284 |
| 46 | 0.020938 | 0.009865 | 98 | 0.155385 | 0.232882 |
| 47 | 0.020938 | 0.010700 | 99 | 0.172787 | 0.242074 |
| 48 | 0.020938 | 0.011574 | 100 | 0.191152 | 0.259472 |
| 49 | 0.020938 | 0.012482 | 101 | 0.210317 | 0.272162 |
| 50 | 0.020938 | 0.013418 | 102 | 0.230128 | 0.293116 |
| 51 | 0.020938 | 0.014019 | 103 | 0.250467 | 0.307811 |
| 52 | 0.020938 | 0.014595 | 104 | 0.271263 | 0.322725 |
| 53 | 0.022121 | 0.015140 | 105 | 0.285234 | 0.337441 |
| 54 | 0.023306 | 0.015650 | 106 | 0.306313 | 0.351544 |
| 55 | 0.024493 | 0.016124 | 107 | 0.319624 | 0.364617 |
| 56 | 0.025684 | 0.016567 | 108 | 0.341120 | 0.376246 |
| 57 | 0.026878 | 0.016987 | 109 | 0.353540 | 0.386015 |
| 58 | 0.028078 | 0.017395 | 110 | 0.373578 | 0.393507 |
| 59 | 0.029279 | 0.017807 | 111 | 0.382320 | 0.398308 |
| 60 | 0.030481 | 0.018704 | 112 | 0.397886 | 0.400000 |
| 61 | 0.031681 | 0.019670 | 113 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 62 | 0.032877 | 0.020725 | 114 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 63 | 0.034074 | 0.021884 | 115 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 64 | 0.034400 | 0.023164 | 116 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 65 | 0.034701 | 0.024576 | 117 | 0.400000 | 1.000000 |
| 66 | 0.034987 | 0.026129 | 118 | 0.400000 | 1.000000 |
| 67 | 0.035271 | 0.027830 | 119 | 0.400000 | 1.000000 |
| 68 | 0.035565 | 0.029683 | 120 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| 69 | 0.035881 | 0.031687 |  |  |  |
| 70 | 0.036234 | 0.033845 |  |  |  |


[^0]:    * net of investment expenses.

[^1]:    * An additional $10 \%$ for ages below 55 and $20 \%$ for ages 55 to 59 are assumed to retire in the first year eligible for unreduced retirement with 30 years of service.

[^2]:    * An additional $20 \%$ are assumed to retire in the first year eligible for unreduced retirement with 30 years of service before age 60 .

[^3]:    * In addition, $100 \%$ are assumed to retirement with 30 years of service on or before age 50 and $75 \%$ are assumed to retire with 30 years of service after age 50 but before age 55 .

